arXiv:1607.08465v2 [math.KT] 17 Feb 2017

# CYCLIC COHOMOLOGY FOR GRADED $C^{*,r}$-ALGEBRAS AND ITS PAIRINGS WITH VAN DAELE $K$-THEORY

JOHANNES KELLENDONK

Abstract. We consider cycles for graded $C^{*,\mathfrak{r}}$-algebras (Real $C^*$-algebras) which are compatible with the $*$-structure and the real structure. Their characters are cyclic cocycles. We define a pairing between such characters and elements of the van Daele $K$-groups of the $C^{*,\mathfrak{r}}$-algebra and its real subalgebra. This pairing vanishes on elements of finite order. We define a second pairing which takes values in a $\mathbb{Z}$-module and is potentially non-trivial on elements of finite order. We discuss examples including that of the spin Chern number modulo 2 occuring in the Kane-Mele model for a topological insulator with odd time reversal invariance.

## 1. Introduction

Recent developpements in solid state physics, notably the classification of topological phases [32, 25], underline the importance of real $K$- and $KK$-theory in physics. As part of this developpement, the $C^*$-algebraic approach to solid state systems [1] was extended to describe insulators of different types by including a grading or a real structure on the observable algebra [22] (see also [34] for a related proposal). In this article we discuss the cyclic cohomology (in the formulation as characters of cycles) of such algebras.

In the $C^*$-algebraic approach topological quantised transport coefficients are expressed as pairings of a $K$-group element either with a character of a cycle (a Connes pairing) or with a $K$-homology class (an index pairing). While the Connes pairing with a character is more directly related to the physical interpretation as a transport coefficient and yields a local formula, the index pairing proves integrality of the coefficient and can be extended to the strong disorder regime [2]. The two approaches are thus complementary. This theory of pairings has first been developped for the Integer Quantum Hall Effect [1, 11, 2, 5] and recently extended to topological insulators of complex type [30], and is now in active developpement for insulators of real type [6, 7]. The present work aims to contribute to this developpement.

Insulators of real type are insulators which transform under an anti-linear automorphism of order 2, like complex conjugation, and so there is an additional ingredient to take into account: a real structure on the $C^*$-algebra. This leads to

*Date*: February 21, 2017.

the consideration of $K$-groups of real $C^*$-algebras and brings in a feature which has not been of importance for complex insulators, namely the occurence of elements of finite order (torsion elements) in the $K$-group. While also complex $K$-groups may contain torsion elements, for instance in the case of certain quasicrystals [16], the physical significance of those torsion elements remains unclear so far and so they don't play a big role. But for certain real topological insulators, like the Kane-Mele model [20], the most relevant $K$-group elements have order 2 and show up in experiments [26]. It is therefore a problem that the Connes pairing is trivial on elements of finite order. To overcome this obstruction we define a new potentially torsion-valued pairing, somewhat in the spirit of the determinant of de la Harpe-Skandalis. This is based on a formulation (and generalisation to graded $C^*$-algebras) of Connes pairing for van Daele $K$-theory.

Our definition was largely influenced by the recent work on periodically driven systems with odd time reversal invariance [9, 10].

The results presented here will permit a formulation of the bulk boundary correspondance in the spirit of [23, 24], as an equality between torsion-valued pairings of characters of cycles with $K$-group elements. We intend to describe this in an upcoming publication.

The complementary approach to the bulk boundary correspondance which is based on the index pairing has already been developped to quite some extend [17, 6, 7]. In its most powerful form it is based on $KK$-theory and the (unbounded) Kasparov product. These technics are very different from what we do here.

Our article is organised as follows. After recalling some preliminaries we explain very briefly van Daele's formulation of $K$-theory for real or complex Banach algebras. In Section 4 we discuss the cyclic cohomology for graded algebras in the framework of cycles and their characters. We define a Connes-type pairing between such characters and the $K$-group elements. The pairing reduces to the usual Connes pairing in case the grading on the algebra is trivial. We formulate compatibility conditions for the cycles due to the $*$-structure and the real structure. These conditions imply the vanishing of the pairing if the dimension of the cycle, its sign and parity, and the degree of the $K$-group do not match. Section 4 ends with a proof that the pairing is compatible with the suspension construction also in the graded case.

In Section 5 we introduce the torsion-valued pairing of characters of cycles with $K$-group elements. This pairing is designed to be potentially non-trivial with torsion elements in the $K$-group of a real subalgebra of a $C^{*,r}$-algebra. The last section is devoted to the applications of the torsion valued pairing. We discuss the perhaps simplest case, namely a non-trivial pairing with $KO_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, as well as pairings with two-dimensional Chern characters which occur in the Kane-Mele model and the periodically driven 2D crystalline model with odd time reversal invariance of [10].

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank Krzysztof Gawedzki, David Carpentier and Michel Fruchart for very useful discussions.

## 2. Preliminaries

We consider here real or complex associative algebras which mostly are equipped with a norm, a $*$-structure (an involution which is anti-linear in the complex case), a grading and sometimes also with a real structure.

Let $G$ be an abelian group. Recall that a $G$-grading on an algebra $A$ is a direct sum decomposition of $A = \bigoplus_{g\in G} A_g$ such that the algebra product $ab$ of $a \in A_g$ with $b \in A_h$ lies in $A_{gh}$. The elements of $A_g$ have degree $g$ and we denote that degree by $|a|_G$. If $A$ is a $*$-algebra we also require that the subspaces $A_g$ are invariant under the $*$-operation.

We are interested in the case that $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $G = \mathbb{Z}$, or $G = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since it is the first case which arises most often in the formulas we simplify them by writing $|a|$ for $|a|_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and when we speak about a grading we mean a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

An alternative way to define a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on a real or complex $*$-algebra is by means of at $*$-automorphism $\gamma$ of order 2. Then $A_+$, the even elements, are those which satisfy $\gamma(a) = a$ and $A_-$, the odd ones, are those which satisfy $\gamma(a) = -a$.

An *odd self-inverse* (OSI) of a graded algebra $(A, \gamma)$ is an odd element of $A$ which is its own inverse, and we denote by $\mathfrak{F}(A, \gamma)$ the set of OSIs of $A$

$$\mathfrak{F}(A, \gamma) = \{x \in A : -\gamma(x) = x = x^{-1}\}.$$

If $A$ is a normed algebra we say that two OSIs of $A$ are osi-homotopic if they are homotopic in $\mathfrak{F}(A, \gamma)$. Not all graded algebras contain an OSI and we say that the grading is balanced if $A$ contains at least one. This requires that $A$ is unital, and if $A$ is not then we will have to add a unit. An *odd self-adjoint unitary* (OSU) of a graded $*$-algebra $(A, \gamma)$ is a self-adjoint OSI, and we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(A, \gamma)$ the set of OSUs of $A$

$$\mathfrak{S}(A, \gamma) = \{x \in A : -\gamma(x) = x = x^* = x^{-1}\}.$$

If $A$ is equipped with a norm then two OSUs of $A$ are osu-homotopic if they are homotopic in $\mathfrak{S}(A, \gamma)$. For instance, any two anticommuting OSUs $x, y$ of a normed $*$-algebra are osu-homotopic, a homotopy being given by $c_t x + s_t y$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where $c_t = \cos(\frac{\pi t}{2})$ and $s_t = \sin(\frac{\pi t}{2})$.

Examples of graded $C^*$-algebras are the complex Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}l_k$. $\mathbb{C}l_k$ is the $C^*$-algebra generated by $k$ pairwise anticommuting OSUs $\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_k$. We denote the grading of Clifford algebras always by st. Concretely, $(\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{st})$ is isomorphic (as graded algebra) to $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with grading given by exchange of the summands $\phi(a, b) = (b, a)$ and $(\mathbb{C}l_2, \mathrm{st})$ is isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with grading given by declaring diagonal matrices even and off-diagonal ones odd. As usual we will denote the generators also by $\rho_1 = \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\rho_2 = \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\sigma_z = -i\sigma_x\sigma_y$ is, of course, even.

The standard extension of a grading $\gamma$ on an algebra $A$ to the algebra of matrices $M_m(A)$ is entrywise, we denote this extension by $\gamma_m$. If $m = 2$ there is another grading which plays an important role, namely $\gamma_{ev}$

$$\gamma_{ev}\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(a) & -\gamma(b) \\ -\gamma(c) & \gamma(d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

A real structure on a complex $C^*$-algebra is an anti-linear $*$-automorphism $\mathfrak{r}$ of order 2. If the algebra is graded then we require tacitly that the grading and the real structure commute $\mathfrak{r} \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \mathfrak{r}$. We also call the data $(\gamma, \mathfrak{r})$ a graded real structure on the algebra $A$. A $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A, \mathfrak{r})$ is a complex $C^*$-algebra equipped with a real structure. The subalgebra $A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ of $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant elements is a real $C^*$-algebra and referred to as the real subalgebra of $(A, \mathfrak{r})$. Any real $C^*$-algebra arises as a sub-algebra of a complex $C^{*,r}$-algebra in such a way. $C^{*,r}$-algebras are elsewhere also called Real $C^*$-algebras (with capital R).

Examples of $C^{*,r}$-algebras are $(\mathbb{C}l_{r+s}, \mathfrak{l}_{r,s})$ where $\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}$ is the real structure defined by $\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\rho_i) = \rho_i$ if $i \leq r$ and $\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\rho_i) = -\rho_i$ if $i > r$. The real subalgebra is thus the algebra generated by the $r$ OSUs $\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_r$ and the $s$ odd anti-selfadjoint unitaries $i\rho_{r+1}, \cdots, i\rho_{r+s}$. The latter square to $-1$. This real sub-algebra which we denote[1] $Cl_{r,s}$ is a real Clifford algebra.

Define $\mu(k)$ to be the greatest integer lower or equal to $\frac{k}{2}$. Hence $\mu : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the unique function satisfying

$$\mu(0) = \mu(1) = 0, \quad \mu(k+2) = \mu(k) + 1.$$

Note that $(-1)^{\mu(k)}$ is the sign of the permutation $1 \cdots k \mapsto k \cdots 1$. Define $\Gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}l_k$ by

$$\Gamma_k = i^{-\mu(k)}\rho_1 \cdots \rho_k$$

where $\rho_i$ are the generators. Then $\Gamma_k^* = \Gamma_k$. $\Gamma_k$ depends on the choice of order of the generators, although only up to a minus sign, and we choose, for $k = 2$, $\rho_1 = \sigma_x$ and $\rho_2 = \sigma_y$ so that $\Gamma_2 = \sigma_z$. If the context is clear we also simply write $\Gamma$ for $\Gamma_k$.

We will consider graded tensor products of graded Banach or $C^*$-algebras with graded finite dimensional algebras where the grading may be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, in which case we denote the tensor product as $A\hat{\otimes}B$, or the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, in which case we denote it as $A \wedge B$. Then $(1\hat{\otimes}b)(a\hat{\otimes}1) = (-1)^{|a||b|}a\hat{\otimes}b$, $(a\hat{\otimes}b)^* = (-1)^{|a||b|}a^*\hat{\otimes}b^*$ and $(1 \wedge b)(a \wedge 1) = (-1)^{|a|_{\mathbb{Z}}|b|_{\mathbb{Z}}}a \wedge b$, $(a \wedge b)^* = (-1)^{|a|_{\mathbb{Z}}|b|_{\mathbb{Z}}}a^* \wedge b^*$.

The following simple result will be important.

**Lemma 2.1** ([12])**.** *Let $(A, \gamma)$ be a complex balanced graded algebra and $e$ an OSI in $A$. Then $\psi_e : (A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2, \gamma\otimes\mathrm{st}) \to (M_2(A), \gamma_2)$*

$$\psi_e(x\hat{\otimes}1) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^{|x|}exe^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_e(1\hat{\otimes}\sigma_x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-1} \\ e & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_e(1\hat{\otimes}i\sigma_y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-1} \\ -e & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

[1] the notation is not uniform, other authors use $Cl_{s,r}$ for this algebra

*is an isomorphism of graded algebras. If $(A,\gamma)$ is a $*$-algebra and $e$ an OSU then $\psi_e$ is a $*$-isomorphism. If $(A,\gamma)$ carries a real structure $\mathfrak{r}$ (which commutes with $\gamma$) and $e$ is $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant then*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_e\circ(\mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{1,1}) &= \mathfrak{r}_2\circ\psi_e\\ \psi_e\circ(\mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{0,2}) &= \mathrm{Ad}_Y\circ\mathfrak{r}_2\circ\gamma_{ev}\circ\psi_e,\quad Y=\begin{pmatrix}0 & e^{-1}\\ -e & 0\end{pmatrix}\\ \psi_e\circ(\mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{2,0}) &= \mathrm{Ad}_X\circ\mathfrak{r}_2\circ\gamma_{ev}\circ\psi_e,\quad X=\begin{pmatrix}0 & e^{-1}\\ e & 0\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is a direct calculation. □

## 3. Van Daele $K$-theory

In [22] we developped the point of view that an insulator corresponds to a self-adjoint invertible element in the observable $C^*$-algebra $A$ and that the symmetry type of an insulator is described by a grading, or a real structure, or a graded real structure on $A$. Any self-adjoint invertible element of a $C^*$-algebra is homotopic (via a continuous path of invertible self-adjoint elements) to a self-adjoint unitary. By taking into account the grading (which has to be put in by tensoring with $\mathbb{C}l_1$ if the insulator does not have chiral symmetry) the topological phases for a given symmetry type and observable algebra $A$ are classified by homotopy classes of OSUs in $A$ (or $A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1$), and these define the van Daele $K$-group of the graded algebra $C^*$- or $C^{*,r}$-algebra $A$.

We recall the basic definitions of van Daele $K$-theory for graded Banach algebras refering the reader for details to the original articles by van Daele [12, 13]. Let $(A,\gamma)$ be a balanced graded normed algebra. We choose an OSI $e\in A$ and define the semigroup

$$V_e(A,\gamma):=\dot{\bigcup}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{F}(M_n(A),\gamma_n)/\sim_h^e$$

where $M_m(A)\ni x\sim_h^e y\in M_l(A)$ if, for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $x\oplus e_{n-m}$ is osi-homotopic to $y\oplus e_{n-l}$. Here $e_n=e\oplus\cdots\oplus e$ ($n$ summands), and semigroup addition is given by the direct sum $[x]+[y]=[x\oplus y]$. The van Daele $K$-group $DK_e(A,\gamma)$ is the Grothendieck group of $V_e(A,\gamma)$. We refer to the choice of $e$ as a choice of base-point. $DK_e(A,\gamma)$ depends on $e$ only up to isomorphism. If $e$ is osi-homotopic to its negative $-e$ then $V_e(A,\gamma)$ is already a group with neutral element $[e]$ and inverse map $[x]\mapsto[-exe]$. In that case $DK_e(A,\gamma)=V_e(A,\gamma)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $DK_e(A,\gamma)\cong DK_{1\hat{\otimes}\sigma_x}(A\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,1},\gamma\otimes\mathrm{st})$ and so the r.h.s. may be taken as the definition of the van Daele $K$-group if $A$ is unital but the grading on $A$ not balanced or even trivial. For non-unital algebras the $K$-group is defined as usual as the kernel of the map induced by the epimorphism $A^+\to\mathbb{C}$ (or $\mathbb{R}$) from the minimal unitization $A^+$ to the one-dimensional algebra.

If $A$ is a $C^*$-algebra then any element of $\mathfrak{F}(A,\gamma)$ is osi-homotopic to an element of $\mathfrak{S}(A,\gamma)$ and moreover, any two osi-homotopic OSUs are osu-homotopic [12].

For $C^*$-algebras one may therefore replace in the definition of $V_e(A,\gamma)$ the sets $\mathfrak{F}(M_n(A),\gamma_n)$ by $\mathfrak{S}(M_n(A),\gamma_n)$ and osi-homotopy by osu-homotopy. The grading on a $C^*$-algebra is hence balanced if the algebra contains an OSU. This is what was done in [22] to describe topological insulators, but here the greater flexibility of working with OSIs will be convenient.

If $(A,\gamma,\mathfrak{r})$ is a graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra then its van Daele $K$-group is by definition the van Daele $K$-group of its real subalgebra $(A^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma)$.

If the grading is clear then we simply write $DK_e(A)$ for $DK_e(A,\gamma)$, or even only $DK(A)$, in case the dependence on $e$ is not important.

The $K$-groups in other degrees are defined as

$$K_{1-i}(A,\gamma) := DK_{e\hat{\otimes}1}(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_i,\gamma\otimes\mathrm{st})$$

in the complex case, and

$$K_{1-r+s}(A,\gamma) := DK_{e\hat{\otimes}1}(A\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s},\gamma\otimes\mathrm{st})$$

in the real case.

If $A$ is trivially graded then the van Daele $K$-group $K_i(A,\mathrm{id})$ is isomorphic to the standard $K$-group of $A$ which we denote, for complex $A$ also by $KU_i(A)$, and for a real $A$ by $KO_i(A)$. We recall also that if $(A,\gamma)$ is inner graded, that is, $\gamma$ is given by conjugation with a self-adjoint unitary from $A$, then $K_i(A,\gamma)\cong K_i(A,\mathrm{id})$ [22]. Thus, under the assumption that chiral symmetry, when it appears, is inner, only standard real or complex $K$-theory is needed to describe topological phases. We find it however extremely useful in the following to use van Daele's formulation of $K$-theory also in the trivially graded case.

3.1. **Suspensions.** A grading $\gamma$ on a Banach algebra $A$ can be extended pointwise to the cone $CA := \{f : [0,1]\to A : f(0)=0\}$ and the suspension $SA = \{f : [0,1]\to A : f(0)=f(1)=0\}$ of $A$, and this is the extension we will always use. We thus have an exact sequence of graded algebras

$$0\to SA\to CA\to A\to 0. \tag{1}$$

There are two natural ways to extend a real structure $\mathfrak{r}$ from $A$ to $SA$: Pointwise, i.e. $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(f)(t)=\mathfrak{r}(f(t))$, or flip-pointwise $\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(f)(t)=\mathfrak{r}(f(1-t))$. However, only the pointwise extension also extends to the cone $CA$ and thus turns (1) into an exact sequence of $C^{*,r}$-algebras. The standard suspension of the $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ is the $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(SA,\tilde{\mathfrak{r}})$ and occurs as the ideal in (1). Its real subalgebra $(SA)^{\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}}=SA^{\mathfrak{r}}$ is the ideal in the exact sequence of real $C^*$-algebras $0\to SA^{\mathfrak{r}}\to CA^{\mathfrak{r}}\to A^{\mathfrak{r}}\to 0$. The boundary map in van Daele's formulation of $K$-theory, which we denote $\beta$, reads as follows. Denote $c_t=\cos(\frac{\pi t}{2})$ and $s_t=\sin(\frac{\pi t}{2})$. For an OSU $x\in M_m(A)$ define $\nu(x):[0,1]\to M_m(A)\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,0}$ to be the function

$$t\mapsto \nu_t(x) = c_t\hat{\otimes}1 + s_t x\hat{\otimes}\rho \tag{2}$$

**Theorem 3.1** ([13]). *Let $(A,\gamma)$ be a balanced graded Banach algebra and $e$ a basepoint. The map $\beta : DK_e(A) \to DK_{1\hat{\otimes}\rho}(SA\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,0})$,*

$$\beta[x] = [\nu(x)\nu^{-1}(e_m)(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)_m\nu(e_m)\nu^{-1}(x)]$$

*is an isomorphism.*

For trivially graded $C^*$-algebras $A$ this reduces to the standard isomorphisms [19]

$$\beta : KU_i(A) \to KU_{i-1}(SA), \quad \beta : KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}}) \to KO_{i-1}(SA^{\mathfrak{r}}).$$

For later use we recall the details in the case $i = 0$ where the relevant algebra is $(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st})$, in the complex, and $(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\otimes Cl_{1,0}, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st})$ in the real case. We choose the basepoint to be $e = 1\otimes\rho_1$ (or $-1\otimes\rho_1$) and so to be an odd element which commutes with any other odd element of $A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1$. Hence any OSU $x$ of $M_m(A)\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,0}$ is of the form $x = he_m$ where $h$ is a self-adjoint unitary in $M_m(A)$ and thus has the form $h = 2p - 1$ for a projection $p$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_t(x)\nu_t^{-1}(e_m)(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)_m\nu_t(e_m)\nu_t^{-1}(x) &= \cos(\pi t(h-1))(1\hat{\otimes}\rho) + \sin(\pi t(h-1))(e\hat{\otimes}1)\\ &= \cos(-2\pi tp^{\perp})(1\hat{\otimes}\rho) + \sin(-2\pi tp^{\perp})(e\hat{\otimes}1)\end{aligned}$$

As $1\hat{\otimes}\rho$ anticommutes with $e\hat{\otimes}1$ the loop $t \mapsto \cos(-2\pi tp^{\perp})(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)+\sin(-2\pi tp^{\perp})(e\hat{\otimes}1)$ is osu-homotopic to

$$Y(t) := \cos(-2\pi tp^{\perp})(e\hat{\otimes}1) - \sin(-2\pi tp^{\perp})(1\hat{\otimes}\rho) \tag{3}$$

(see also [19]). Furthermore, by identifying $e\hat{\otimes}1$ and $1\hat{\otimes}\rho$ with $\sigma_x, \sigma_y \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ we obtain the expression

$$\beta([x]) = [Y], \quad Y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-2\pi itp^{\perp}} \\ e^{2\pi itp^{\perp}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The upper right corner corresponds to the usual formula for the Bott map applied to $[p^{\perp}]$.

## 4. Cyclic cohomology for graded $C^{*,r}$-algebras

4.1. **Definition for graded algebras.** The definition of cyclic cohomology for a graded algebra $(A,\gamma)$ can be found in [21]. It is a straightforward generalisation of the ungraded case and follows naturally if one takes into account the sign rule for the transposition of two elements in the graded tensor product: the sign of the transposition $a\hat{\otimes}b \mapsto b\hat{\otimes}a$ is $(-1)^{1+|a||b|}$. The cyclic permutation $\lambda_{(n)} : A^{\hat{\otimes}^n} \to A^{\hat{\otimes}^n}$ must then be used with an extra sign coming from the transpositions:

$$\lambda_{(n)}(a_0\hat{\otimes}\cdots\hat{\otimes}a_n) = (-1)^{n+|a_n|(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}|a_i|)}(a_n\hat{\otimes}a_0\hat{\otimes}\cdots a_{n-1}).$$

The Hochschild complex $(C^n, b)$ of $A$ consists of the modules $C^n$ of linear maps $\xi : A^{\hat{\otimes}^{n+1}} \to \mathbb{C}$ together with the boundary maps

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \delta_i$$

where $\delta_i : C^n \to C^{n+1}$ is given by

$$\delta_i f(a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_{n+1}) = \xi(a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots a_i a_{i+1} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_{n+1}), \quad \delta_{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} \lambda \delta_0$$

with $\lambda : C^n \to C^n, \lambda\xi = \xi \circ \lambda_{(n)}$. The cyclic cohomology is the cohomology of the subcomplex $(C^n_\lambda, b)$ of the Hochschild complex of cyclic cochains, i.e. $\xi \in C^n$ which satisfy $\lambda\xi = \xi$. A cyclic cochain of $C^n$ which satisfies $b\xi = 0$ is called a cyclic cocycle of dimension $n$.

4.2. **Cycles and their characters.** The cyclic cohomology of algebras can be described by means of characters of cycles. Recall from [11] that a (bounded) $n$-dimensional cycle over an ungraded algebra $A$ is a triple $(\Omega, d, \int)$, a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\Omega = \oplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Omega_k$ with differential $d : \Omega_k \to \Omega_{k+1}$, an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A \to \Omega_0$, and a closed graded trace $\int : \Omega_n \to \mathbb{C}$ (or $\mathbb{R}$). We adapt this definition to graded algebras by requiring in addition that $\Omega$ is $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, the differential $d$ has degree $(1, 0)$, $\varphi$ preserves the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading and $\int$ is graded cyclic in the sense that

$$\int \omega\omega' = (-1)^{|\omega|_{\mathbb{Z}}|\omega'|_{\mathbb{Z}}+|\omega||\omega'|} \int \omega'\omega \tag{5}$$

where $|\omega|_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-degree and $|\omega|$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree of $\omega$. The trace being closed means that it vanishes on the image of $d$. With these additional requirements $(\Omega, d, \int)$ is called a (bounded) cycle over the graded algebra $A$.

The character $\xi$ of the cycle $(\Omega, d, \int)$ is defined to be

$$\xi(a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_n) := \int \varphi(a_0) d\varphi(a_1) \cdots d\varphi(a_n).$$

As in the ungraded case [11][Chap. III.1.$\alpha$, Prop. 4] one shows that the character is a cyclic cocycle of dimension $n$ and that conversely, any $n$-dimensional cyclic cocycle arrises from an $n$-dimensional cycle in the above way.

The cyclic cohomology of $C^*$-algebras is too poor for the applications in solid state physics which we have in mind. One way to overcome this problem is to consider characters of cycles whose differential $d$ and graded trace $\int$ are perhaps only densely defined but which still have a well-defined pairing with the $K$-groups of $A$. Here the holomorphic functional calculus will play a role.

Recall that a unital normed complex algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus if for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and any function $f$ which is holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the spectrum of $x$ (the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $x - \lambda$ is not invertible in the completion of $\mathcal{A}$) we have $f(x) \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is not unital then we

say that it is closed under holomorphic functional calculus if this is the case for its unitization $\mathcal{A}^+$. Such algebras are called local Banach algebras [3]. This has the following consequences.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $(\mathcal{A},\gamma)$ be a unital normed complex graded algebra whose even part is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. If $x,y\in\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A},\gamma)$ satisfy $\|x-y\|<2\|x\|^{-1}$ then there exists a smooth path $[0,1]\ni t\mapsto x(t)\in\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A},\gamma)$ with $x(0)=x$ and $x(1)=y$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense subalgebra of a $C^*$-algebra and $x$ and $y$ self-adjoint then $x(t)$ can be chosen in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A},\gamma)$ and $\|x(t)-x\|\leq C(\|x-y\|)$ for all $t\in[0,1]$ where $C:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function with $C(0)=0$.*

*Proof.* We follow [12][Prop. 3.2] to see that $v=\frac{1}{2}(1+yx)$ satisfies $\|1-v\|<1$, and hence is invertible in the completion of $\mathcal{A}$, and that $vxv^{-1}=y$. Furthermore $\|1-v\|<1$ implies that the spectrum of $v$ lies in the domain of the analytic extension to $\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\Re z>0\}$ of the natural logarithm and so $v^t=\exp(t\log(\frac{1}{2}(1+yx)))$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}$ for all $t$. Hence $x(t)=v^txv^{-t}$ is a path in $\mathcal{A}$ linking $x=x(0)$ to $y=x(1)$. Clearly the path is arbitrarily many times differentiable in $\mathcal{A}$.

We now assume that $x$ and $y$ are self-adjoint. This implies that they have norm 1 and that $v$ is a normal element. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under polar decomposition [3] $\hat{x}(t)=x(t)(x(t)^*x(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ and so defines a smooth path in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A},\gamma)$ joining $x$ with $y$. Let $b=\|x-y\|$. Then $\|1-v\|\leq\frac{b}{2}$ and hence $\|v^{-1}\|\leq\frac{1}{1-\frac{b}{2}}$. Hence $\|v^txv^{-t}-x\|\leq 2\|v^t-1\|\|v^{-t}\|\leq\frac{b}{1-\frac{b}{2}}$ for all $t\in[0,1]$. Using $\|x^*(t)x(t)-1\|=\|(x^*(t)-x(t))x(t)\|\leq\|(x^*(t)-x(t))\|\|x(t)\|$ we see that $\|(x^*(t)x(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}-1\|$ tends to 0 if $b$ tends to 0. Thus $\|\hat{x}(t)-x\|$ tends to 0 if $b$ tends to 0. □

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let $(A,\gamma)$ be a balanced complex graded $C^*$-algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ a dense $*$-subalgebra whose even part is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. Assume furthermore that within distance $\frac{1}{2}$ of any OSU of $A$ there is an OSU of $\mathcal{A}$. If two OSUs $x,y$ of $\mathcal{A}$ are homotopic in $\mathfrak{S}(A,\gamma)$ then there exists a continuous, piecewise continuously differentiable path $x(t)\in\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A},\gamma)$ with $x(0)=x$ and $x(1)=y$.*

*Proof.* If two OSUs $x,y$ of $\mathcal{A}$ are homotopic in $\mathfrak{S}(A,\gamma)$ then there is a finite collection $(x_i)_{i=0,\cdots,N}$ of OSUs in $A$ such that $x=x_0$, $y=x_N$ and $\|x_i-x_{i+1}\|<1$. By the assumption we may move the $x_i$ a bit so that they are OSUs of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\|x_i-x_{i+1}\|<2$. Now the result follows from the last lemma. □

**Definition 4.3.** *An $n$-dimensional (possibly unbounded) cycle $(\Omega,d,\int)$ over a graded $C^*$-algebra $A$ with domain algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra $\Omega$ with a graded $*$-homomorphism $\varphi:A\to\Omega_0$, a densely defined differential $d$ of degree $(1,0)$, a densely defined linear functional $\int:\Omega_n\to\mathbb{C}$ and a dense $*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ of $A$ such that*

*(C1) $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ lies in the domain of $d$.*

*(C2)* $\varphi(\mathcal{A})(d\varphi(\mathcal{A}))^n$ *lies in the domain of* $\int$, $\int$ *is graded cyclic in the sense of (5) on* $\varphi(\mathcal{A})(d\varphi(\mathcal{A}))^n$ *and vanishes on* $(d\varphi(\mathcal{A}))^n$.

*(C3) The even part of* $\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ *is closed under functional holomorphic calculus, for all* $k \geq 0$.

*(C4) In any neighbourhood of an OSU of* $M_n(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k)$ *there is an OSU of* $M_n(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k)$, *for all* $n \geq 1$ *and* $k \geq 0$.

*If* $A$ *is balanced we require in addition that*

*(C5)* $\mathcal{A}$ *contains an OSU* $e$ *such that* $de = 0$.

We include in this definition bounded cycles for $C^*$-algebras as being those for which $\mathcal{A}$ can be taken to be $A$.

The character of such an unbounded cycle defines a cyclic cocycle over $\mathcal{A}$. It is similar to what Connes calls a higher trace in [11] for ungraded algebras, but instead of requiring the norm estimates of [11][Chap. III.6.$\alpha$, Def. 11] (see also [24]) we require directly closedness under functional holomorphic calculus.

Note that if $A$ is balanced then by Lemma 2.1 the conditions (C3) and (C4) will hold for all positive $k$ if they hold for $k = 0, 1$. If $A$ is unital then $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1$ is balanced and hence conditions (C3) and (C4) will hold for all positive $k$ if they hold for $k = 0, 1, 2$. We will see below that for trivially graded $A$ condition (C4) follows from condition (C3). It would be interesting to know whether this is also the case for general graded algebras.

We simplify our notation by surpressing the homomorphism $\varphi$, which should not create confusion, as for all our cycles below $\varphi$ is injective.

4.3. **Extension of cycles to** $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$**.** Kassel establishes a Künneth formula for the cyclic cohomology of graded algebras which implies, in particular, that $HC^n(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k)$ contains $\bigoplus_{i+j=n} HC^i(A)\otimes HC^j(\mathbb{C}l_k)$. He shows moreover that $HC^0(\mathbb{C}l_k) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and that the linear map $\jmath : \mathbb{C}l_k \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\jmath(\Gamma_k) = 1$ and $\jmath(\rho_{i_1}\rho_{i_2}\cdots\rho_{i_j}) = 0$ if $j < k$ provides a generator for $HC^0(\mathbb{C}l_k)$. Note that $\jmath$ is a graded trace, i.e. $\jmath(c_1c_2) = (-1)^{|c_1||c_2|}\jmath(c_2c_1)$. All further elements in $HC^{ev}(\mathbb{C}l_k)$ are images of $\jmath$ under Connes' $S$-operator. $HC^{odd}(\mathbb{C}l_k)$ vanishes.

We use the cup product $\xi\otimes\jmath \in HC^n(A)\otimes HC^0(\mathbb{C}l_k) \subset HC^n(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k)$ on the level of cycles to extend characters from $A$ to $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$. Recall that the algebra of a cycle $\Omega$ is $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. The graded tensor product $\Omega\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ satisfies the Koszul sign rule only w.r.t. the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree. In particular, $(1\hat{\otimes}c)(\omega\hat{\otimes}1) = (-1)^{|\omega||c|}\omega\hat{\otimes}c$ $(c \in \mathbb{C}l_k)$ and, if $\Omega$ is a $*$-algebra, $(\omega\hat{\otimes}c)^* = (-1)^{|\omega||c|}\omega^*\hat{\otimes}c^*$.

**Definition 4.4.** *Let* $A$ *be a graded algebra and* $(\Omega, d, \int)$ *a cycle over* $A$. *The extension of this cycle to* $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1$ *is the cycle* $(\Omega\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1, d\otimes\mathrm{id}, \int\circ\jmath)$ *where* $\jmath : \Omega\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1 \to \Omega$ *is given by*

$$\jmath(\omega_1\hat{\otimes}1 + \omega_2\hat{\otimes}\Gamma) = i^{|\omega_2|}\omega_2.$$

The prefactor $i^{|\omega_2|}$ is needed to guarantee that $\jmath$ is a $*$-map, in case $\Omega$ is a $*$-algebra: $\jmath((\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma)^*) = \jmath((-1)^{|\omega|}\omega^*\hat{\otimes}\Gamma) = (-i)^{|\omega|}\omega^* = (\jmath((\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma))^*$.

Iteration of the above construction leads to the extension of the cycle to $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$. This extension is given by $(\Omega\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k, d\otimes\mathrm{id}, \int\circ\jmath)$ where $\jmath : \Omega\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k \to \Omega$ is

$$\jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}c) = i^{k|\omega|}\jmath(c)\omega.$$

In particular, $\jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma) = i^{k|\omega|}\omega$. If clarity demands it, we write $\jmath_k$ for $\jmath$ to indicate the size of the Clifford algebra.

4.4. **Pairing with van Daele $K$-groups.** We start by considering bounded cycles on a balanced graded normed algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let $(\Omega, d, \int)$ be a bounded $n$-dimensional cycle over a graded normed algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$. Suppose that there exists an element $e \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$ which satisfies $de = 0$. Let $t \mapsto x(t)$ be a continuously differentiable path in $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$. Then*

$$\int (x(t) - e)(dx(t))^n$$

*does not depend on $t$.*

*Proof.* Given any derivation $\delta$ the identity $x^2 = 1$ implies that $(\delta x)^i x = (-1)^i x(\delta x)^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $z = x - e$. Clearly $\int (x-e)(dx)^n = \int z(dz)^n$. Using $\dot{x} = -x\dot{x}x$ and the graded cyclicity of $\int$ we get

$$\int \dot{z}(dx)^n = -\int x\dot{x}x(dx)^n = -(-1)^{|x||\dot{x}x(dx)^n|}\int \dot{x}x(dx)^n x = -\int \dot{z}(dx)^n$$

as $|x|_{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$. Hence $\int \dot{z}(dx)^n$ must vanish. Furthermore, $zdz + (dz)z$ is a total derivative. Hence

$$z(dz)^\nu d\dot{z} = (-1)^\nu (dz)^\nu z d\dot{z} + R_1 = (-1)^{\nu+1}(dz)^{\nu+1}\dot{z} + R_1 + R_2$$

where $R_1$ and $R_2$ are total derivatives and hence vanish under the trace $\int$. Thus

$$\int z(dz)^\nu d\dot{z}(dz)^{n-\nu-1} = \epsilon\int (dz)^{\nu+1}\dot{z}(dz)^{n-\nu-1} = \epsilon'\int \dot{z}(dz)^n$$

for certain $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{\pm 1\}$. Hence the derivative of $\int (x(t)-e)(dx(t))^n$ w.r.t. $t$ vanishes. □

4.4.1. *Pairing for balanced graded $C^*$-algebras.*

**Definition 4.6.** *Let $(A, \gamma)$ be a unital balanced graded $C^*$-algebra and $(\Omega, d, \int)$ an $n$-dimensional (possibly unbounded) cycle over $A$ with character $\xi$ and domain algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let $e \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$ satisfy $de = 0$. The pairing of $\xi$ with an element $[x] \in DK_e(A)$ is defined to be*

$$\langle \xi, [x]\rangle = \int \mathrm{Tr}(x - e_m)(dx)^n$$

*where we take a representative $x$ for $[x]$ which lies in $\mathfrak{S}(M_m(\mathcal{A}), \gamma_m)$. Here* Tr *is the matrix trace on $M_m(\mathbb{C})$.*

Cor. 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 guarantee that the pairing is well-defined. Indeed, the assumptions on $\mathcal{A}$ assure that $(\Omega, d, \int)$ restricts to a bounded cycle over $\mathcal{A}$, that the hypothesis of Cor. 4.2 are satisfied and that $[x]$ admits a representative in $\mathfrak{S}(M_m(\mathcal{A}), \gamma_m)$.

Since $(x_1 \oplus x_2)(d(x_1 \oplus x_2))^n = x_1(dx_1)^n \oplus x_2(dx_2)^n$ the map $V_e(A) \ni [x] \mapsto \langle \xi, [x] \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ is a homomorphism of semi-groups. Since the pairing with the class of $e$ is 0 the homomorphism induces a homomorphism of groups $DK_e(A) \ni [x] \mapsto \langle \xi, [x] \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that

$$\int \mathrm{Tr}(x - e_m)(dx)^n = \int \mathrm{Tr} x (dx)^n$$

provided $n > 0$. In the case $n = 0$ the pairing is a priori only independent of the choice of basepoint if $e$ is osu-homotopic to its negative.

To define pairings with higher $K$-groups or for trivially graded algebras we consider tensor products with $\mathbb{C}l_k$ and extend the cycle as in Def. 4.4. We remark that $k$ must be strictly larger than 1 in case $A$ is not balanced graded.

**Definition 4.7.** *Let $(A, \gamma)$ be a (possibly trivially) graded unital $C^*$-algebra and $(\Omega, d, \int)$ an $n$-dimensional (possibly unbounded) cycle over $A$ with character $\xi$ and domain algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let $e \in \mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ be an OSU which satisfies $de = 0$. The pairing of $\xi$ with an element $[x] \in DK_e(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k) \cong K_{1-k}(A)$ is*

$$\langle \xi, [x] \rangle = 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \int \mathrm{Tr} \jmath((x - e_m)(dx)^n)$$

*for $x \in \mathfrak{S}(M_m(\mathcal{A}\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k), (\gamma \otimes \mathrm{st})_m)$ with $\jmath$ as after Def. 4.4 and* Tr *the matrix trace on $M_m(\mathbb{C})$.*

If $A$ is a balanced graded algebra then by Lemma 2.1 $(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2, \gamma \otimes \mathrm{st})$ is isomorphic to $(M_2(A), \gamma_2)$. We therefore have apriori two ways to pair an element of the $K$-group with a character: one involves the formula with $k$ and the other with $k+2$. That these two ways yield the same answer is the following result.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let $A$ be a balanced graded algebra and $e$ an OSI in $A$. Let $(\Omega, d, \int)$ be an $n$-dimensional cycle over $A$ and $\omega \in \Omega_n\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$. Then*

$$\int \jmath(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{Tr}_2(\psi_e(\omega))$$

*where $\psi_e : \Omega_n\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2 \to M_2(\Omega)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1 with $A$ replaced by $\Omega_n$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_2$ is the matrix trace.*

*Proof.* Expand $\omega = \omega_0\hat{\otimes}1 + \omega_1\hat{\otimes}\sigma_1 + \omega_2\hat{\otimes}\sigma_y + \omega_3\hat{\otimes}\sigma_z$ with $\omega_i \in \Omega_n$. Then $\jmath(\omega) = \omega_3$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_2(\psi_e(\omega)) &= \mathrm{Tr}_2 \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 + \omega_3 & (\omega_1 - i\omega_2)e \\ e((-1)^{|\omega_1|}\omega_1 + i(-1)^{|\omega_2|}\omega_2) & e((-1)^{|\omega_0|}\omega_0 - (-1)^{|\omega_3|}\omega_3)e \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \omega_0 + (-1)^{|\omega_0|}e\omega_0 e + \omega_3 - (-1)^{|\omega_3|}e\omega_3 e \end{aligned}$$

Now $\int e\omega e = (-1)^{|\omega|+1}\int \omega$, by graded cyclicity. Hence

$$\int \mathrm{Tr}_2(\psi_e(\omega)) = 2\int \omega_3.$$

□

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let $A$ be a balanced graded algebra and $e$ an OSI in $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$. Let $\xi$ be the character of an $n$-dimensional cycle over $A$ and $x \in M_m(A)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ an OSI. Then*

$$2^{\frac{k+2}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath_{2+k}((x-\tilde{e}_m)(dx)^n) = 2^{\frac{k}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath_k((\psi_e(x)-e_{2m})(d\psi_e(x))^n).$$

*where $\tilde{e} \in A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ corresponds to $e\hat{\otimes}\sigma_z \in A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ under the isomorphisms $\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k \to \mathbb{C}l_k\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$.*

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 4.8 to $a = (x-\tilde{e}_m)(dx)^n \in \Omega_n\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_{2+k}$. The result follows as $\psi_e$ commutes with $d$ and $\psi_e(\tilde{e}) = e_2$. □

4.4.2. *Pairing for nonunital $A$.* If $A$ is non-unital then its van Daele $K$-group is a subgroup of the $K$-group of the unitization $A^+$ of $A$. More precisely we consider the exact sequence of graded $C^*$-algebras

$$0 \to (A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2, \gamma\otimes\mathrm{st}) \to (A^+\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2, \gamma^+\otimes\mathrm{st}) \xrightarrow{q} (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st}) \to 0$$

and take $e = 1\hat{\otimes}\sigma_x$ as base point for $A^+\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$ and $\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2$. Then the elements of $DK(A)$ are by definition the homotopy classes $[x]$ of OSU's $x \in M_m(A^+)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$ such that $q(x)$ is homotopic to $e_m$. Let now $\xi$ be a character of a possibly unbounded cycle over $(A,\gamma)$. If $\xi$ extends to $\mathcal{A}^+$ then we may directly apply Def. 4.6 for its pairing. If $\xi$ does not extend to $\mathcal{A}^+$ then the formula makes only sense if $x - e_m \in \ker q = M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$. Van Daele proves in [12][Prop. 3.7] that any $[x] \in DK(A)$ has a representive $x$ which satisfies $x - e_m \in \ker q = M_m(A)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$. A closer look at his proof (based again on the construction of a holomorphic logarithm as in the proof of Lemma 4.1) shows that any $[x] \in DK(A)$ has a representive which even satisfies $x - e_m \in M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$. We thus define the pairing of $\xi$ with $[x]$ by the same formula as in Def. 4.6 $\langle\xi,[x]\rangle = \int \mathrm{Tr}(x-e_m)(dx)^n$ but require that $x$ is such that $x - e_m \in M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$. The homotopy invariance of this pairing can be shown in two steps: For differentiable paths in $\mathfrak{S}(M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2)$ of the form $x(t) - e_m$ we conclude as in Lemma 4.5 that the derivative of $\int \mathrm{Tr}(x(t)-e_m)(dx(t))^n$ w.r.t. $t$ vanishes. Indeed, the argument using the graded cyclicity can be employed as $\dot{x}x(dx)^n$ and $\dot{z}(dz)^{n-\nu-1}$ lie in $M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$, and furthermore the total derivatives $R_1$, $R_2$ are derivatives of elements from $M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$. Now in a second step we need to make sure that if $y$ is osu-homotopic to $x$ and also satisfies $y - e \in M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$ then we can even find a homotopy $x(t)$ from $x$ to $y$ such that $x(t) - e$ remains in $M_m(\mathcal{A})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2$ for all $t$. To see this, let $x(t)$ be a continuously differentiable homotopy between $x(0) = x$ and $x(1) = y$ in $\mathfrak{S}(M_m(\mathcal{A}^+)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2)$ and consider the path $c(t) = q(x(t))$ in $\mathfrak{S}(M_m(\mathbb{C})\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2)$. The path $c(t)$ can be represented

as $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & U(t)^* \\ U(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where $U(t)$ is a continuous path of unitaries in $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ which is 1 at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$. Let $W(t) = \begin{pmatrix} U(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & U(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ where $U(t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ a square root of $U(t)$ which is continuous in $t$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and equal to 1 at $t = 0$. It follows that $W(t)c(t)W^*(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover, $W(1)$ commutes with $c(1)$ and has eigenvalues $\pm 1$. Since $U_m(\mathbb{C})$ is contractible we can find a path of unitaries $V(t) \in M_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$, $t \in [1, 2]$ which commutes with $c(1)$ and connects $W(1)$ to $1 \in M_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\tilde{x}(t) = \begin{cases} s(W(t))x(t)s(W(t))^* & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ s(V(t))x(1)s(V(t))^* & \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

where $s : \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}l_2 \to A^+ \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l_2$ be a section, i.e. $q \circ s = \mathrm{id}$. Then $\tilde{x}(t)$ is an osu-homotopy between $x$ and $y$ which satisfies $q(\tilde{x}(t)) = e_m$ for all $t \in [0, 2]$.

4.4.3. *Pairing for trivially graded $C^*$-algebras.* In the context of trivially graded $A$ the above pairing is an adaptation of Connes pairing to van Daele's formulation of (complex) $K$-theory, as we shall see now. We begin with a couple of remarks.

If $(\Omega, d, \int)$ is a cycle over a trivially graded algebra then only the even part of $\Omega$ enters into the definition of the character and so we may assume without loss of generality that $\Omega$ is trivially graded.

If $(\Omega, d, \int)$ is a possibly unbounded cycle over a trivially graded algebra $A$ with domain algebra $\mathcal{A}$ then the condition that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus implies that the even parts of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}l_k$ are for all positive $k$ also closed under holomorphic functional calculus. This is trivially the case for $k = 1$, follows for $k = 2$ from the description of the even part as the diagonal matrices of $M_2(\mathcal{A})$, and for $k > 2$ from the fact that $A \otimes \mathbb{C}l_1$ (or $A^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}l_1$ if $A$ is not unital) is balanced.

Furthermore, closedness under holomorphic functional calculus of $\mathcal{A}$ implies (C4). Indeed, for $k = 0$ the condition (C4) is empty. For $k = 1$ any OSU of $A \otimes \mathbb{C}l_1$ has the form $(2p - 1) \otimes \rho$ where $p$ is a projection. Arbitrarily close to $p$ we can find an element $p' \in \mathcal{A}$ which is perhaps not a projection, but its spectrum lies in a small neighbourhood $U$ of the set $\{0, 1\}$. There exists a holomorphic function $f$ on $U$ which is 1 near 1 and 0 near 0 and hence $f(p')$ is a projection and $f(p) = p$. By continuity of $f$, $f(p')$ is close to $f(p)$ and hence $(2p - 1) \otimes \rho$ osu-homotopic to $(2p' - 1) \otimes \rho$ in $A \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}l_1$. Finally, in the case $k = 2$ any OSU of $A \otimes \mathbb{C}l_2$ has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & U^* \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some unitary $U \in A$. Since the invertible elements of $A$ are open we find an invertible element $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ close by $U$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under functional calculus we can polar decompose $Q$ in $\mathcal{A}$ to see that $Q$ is close to a unitary $U'$ in $\mathcal{A}$. It follows that $U'$ is homotopic to $U$ in the set of unitaries of $A$.

To summarize, for trivially graded $C^*$-algebras we can replace conditions (C3) and (C4) in Def. 4.3 by the single condition that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. It seems in interesting question to ask whether this is also true for non-trivially graded $C^*$-algebras.

The following result does not hold in general for non-trivially graded algebras.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let $(\Omega, d, \int)$ be an $n$-dimensional cycle over a trivially graded normed algebra $A$. Let $e \in \mathfrak{F}(A \otimes \mathbb{C}l_k)$ with $de = 0$. If $k+n$ is even then $\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath((x-e_m)(dx)^n) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{F}(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_k, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st})$.*

*Proof.* We can write $(x-e_m)(dx)^n = \omega\otimes\Gamma + R$ where $R$ belongs to the kernel of $\jmath$. Now the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree of $(x-e_m)(dx)^n$ is $n+1$ (mod 2) and that of $\omega\otimes\Gamma$ equal to $k$, as $\Omega$ is trivially $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded (one can choose it that way). It follows that $n+1+k$ must be even or $\omega = 0$. □

We now show that, for a trivially graded unital $C^*$-algebra $A$, the pairing of the character of an $n = 2j+1$-dimensional cycle with $KU_1(A) = K_1(A, \mathrm{id}) \cong DK_{1\otimes\sigma_x}(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st})$ corresponds to the usual pairing as defined by Connes [11]. Any OSU $x \in M_2(M_m(A)) \cong M_m(A)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2$ is of the form

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U^* \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some unitary $U \in M_m(A)$. Moreover, $e = 1\otimes\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (x-e_m)dx(dx)^{n-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} (U^*-1)dU & 0 \\ 0 & (U-1)dU^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dU^*dU & 0 \\ 0 & dUdU^* \end{pmatrix}^j \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big((U^*-1)dU(dU^*dU)^j - (U-1)dU^*(dUdU^*)^j\big)\otimes\Gamma + R \end{aligned}$$

where $R$ lies in the kernel of $\jmath$. $U \mapsto \int \mathrm{Tr}(U^*-1)dU(dU^*dU)^j$ is the usual pairing between unitaries and the character of the cycle and known to induce a group homomorphism on $KU_1(A)$ [11]. In particular $\int \mathrm{Tr}(U-1)dU^*(dUdU^*)^j = -\int \mathrm{Tr}(U^*-1)dU(dU^*dU)^j$ and hence

$$\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath((x-e_m)(dx)^n) = \int \mathrm{Tr}(U^*-1)dU(dU^*dU)^j.$$

Next we show that the pairing of the character of an even dimensional cycle with $KU_0(A) = K_0(A, \mathrm{id})$ corresponds to the usual pairing as defined by Connes [11] if $A$ is trivially graded unital. Recall that $K_0(A, \mathrm{id}) \cong DK_e(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{st})$ where we may take $e = -1\otimes\rho_1$ ($\rho_1$ the generator of $\mathbb{C}l_1$) as basepoint. Since $e$ is not homotopic to its negative $DK_e(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{st})$ is the Grothendieck group of the semigroup defined by homotopy classes of OSUs. Any OSU has the form $x = h\otimes\rho_1$ where $h \in M_m(A)$ is a selfadjoint unitary. The map $h \mapsto p = \frac{h+1}{2}$ induces an isomorphism between $DK_e(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1)$ and the standard picture for the $K_0$-group of

$A$. Then $(x-e_m)(dx)^n = (h+1)(dh)^n\rho_1^{n+1}$ and hence $\jmath((x-e_m)(dx)^n) = 2p(2dp)^n$ provided $n$ is even and 0 otherwise. Hence, if $n$ is even,

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath((x-e_m)(dx)^n) = 2^{n+\frac{3}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}p(dp)^n.$$

It will be useful to consider also the pairing in the formulation $K_0(A,\mathrm{id}) = DK_{1\otimes\sigma_x\hat{\otimes}1}(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1)$. This is already a group, as $1\otimes\sigma_x\hat{\otimes}1$ is homotopic to its negative. We use the isomorphism $\psi_e : (A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st}\otimes\mathrm{st}) \to (M_2(A)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{id}_2\otimes\mathrm{st})$ where $e = 1\otimes 1\hat{\otimes}\rho_1$. Any odd element of $M_{2m}(A)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1$ is of the form $H\otimes\rho$ where $H\in M_{2m}(A)$ is a self-adjoint unitary which we decompose again $H = 2P-1$. Hence

$$2^{\frac{3}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath_3(x(dx)^n) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath_1(\psi_e(x)(d\psi_e(x))^n) = 2^{n+\frac{3}{2}}\int \mathrm{Tr}P(dP)^n \tag{6}$$

where $P = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_e(x)+1)$. This is the same result. Indeed, the isomorphism between $DK_{1\otimes\rho}(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1)$ and $DK_{1\otimes\sigma_x\hat{\otimes}1}(M_2(A)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1)$ is given by $A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1 \ni h\otimes\rho \mapsto H\otimes\rho := (h\oplus e)\otimes\rho \in M_2(A)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1$ and we see that $h$ and $H$ have the same spectral projection onto their positive part.

There may be good reasons to put further normalisation constants $C_n$ depending on the dimension $n$ of the cycle into the definition of the pairing, i.e. $\langle\xi,[x]\rangle = 2^{\frac{k}{2}}C_n\int\mathrm{Tr}\jmath((x-e_m)(dx)^n)$, as is done in [11], [27], or [30]. The three normalisations in these works are not the same and, although for topological insulators the normalisation of [30] seems most reasonable, we refrain here from choosing one and simply put $C_n = 1$. Any other choice for $C_n$ can be easily incorporated.

4.5. **Compatibility with the $*$-structure and the real structure.** For complex algebras the pairing can take a priori any complex value. But if the cycle is compatible with the $*$-structure and/or the real structure in a certain way, the pairing takes either real or purely imaginary values.

4.5.1. *$*$-cycles.*

**Definition 4.11.** *A cycle $(\Omega, d, \int)$ over a $*$-algebra $A$ is called a $*$-cycle of sign $\epsilon\in\{+1,-1\}$ if $\Omega$ is a $*$-algebra, $\varphi : A\to\Omega_0$ a $*$-homomorphism, $d$ is a $*$-derivation ($da^* = (da)^*$) and*

$$\int\omega^* = \epsilon\overline{\int\omega}.$$

If $A$ is a real algebra and $(\Omega,d,\int)$ a real cycle then the identity for the trace is, of course, $\int\omega^* = \epsilon\int\omega$.

**Lemma 4.12.** *The extension to $A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k$ of an $n$-dimensional $*$-cycle over $A$ is an $n$-dimensional $*$-cycle of the same sign.*

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\jmath$ is a $*$-map. □

**Example 4.13** (Standard $*$-cycles for $*$-algebras)**.** Let $(A,\gamma)$ be a graded $*$-algebra. Most cycles relevant to physics are of the form $\Omega = A\otimes\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $\gamma\otimes\mathrm{id}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$-grading corresponding to the grading of the Grassmann algebra $\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n$. Furthermore $da = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i(a)\otimes\lambda_i$ where $\{\lambda_i\}_i$ is a base of $\mathbb{C}^n$ generating the Grassmann algebra and $\partial_i$ are derivations preserving the $*$-structure. We define a $*$-structure on $\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n$ by declaring the elements $\lambda_i$ to be self-adjoint. In particular, $v := \lambda_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\lambda_n$ satisfies $v^* = (-1)^{\mu(n)}v$. Define $\imath : A\otimes\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n \to A$ by

$$\imath(a\otimes v) = i^{\mu(n)}a$$

and $\imath(a\otimes w) = 0$ for all $w\in\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n$ of degree less than $n$. Note that the choice of the prefactor makes $\imath$ a $*$-map: we have $\imath((a\otimes v)^*) = (-1)^{\mu(n)}\imath(a^*\otimes v) = (-i)^{\mu(n)}a^* = (\imath(a\otimes v))^*$. Finally let $\int = \mathrm{Tr}_A\circ\imath$ where $\mathrm{Tr}_A$ is a graded trace on $A$ satisfying $\mathrm{Tr}_A(a^*) = \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_A(a)}$. Then the cycle is a $*$-cycle of sign $+1$. In the context of $C^*$-algebras standard $*$-cycles are usually unbounded and we need to specify a domain algebra.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let $(\Omega,d,\int)$ be an $n$-dimensional $*$-cycle of sign $\epsilon$ over a graded $C^*$-algebra $A$ whose character is $\xi$. Then*

$$\langle\xi, DK(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k)\rangle \subset i^n\sqrt{\epsilon}\mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Let $x$ be anOSU. Since $d$ is a $*$-derivation we have $((x-e_m)(dx)^n)^* = (dx^*)^n(x-e_m)^* = (dx)^n(x-e_m)$. Hence $\int\jmath\big(((x-e_m)(dx)^n)^*\big) = \int\jmath\big((dx)^n(x-e_m)\big) = (-1)^n\int\jmath\big((x-e_m)(dx)^n\big)$. On the other hand, as $\jmath$ is a $*$-map, we have $\int\jmath\big(((x-e_m)(dx)^n)^*\big) = \int\big(\jmath((x-e_m)(dx)^n)\big)^* = \epsilon\overline{\int\jmath\big((x-e_m)(dx)^n\big)}$. It follows that $\int\jmath\big((x-e_m)(dx)^n\big)$ is real if $(-1)^n\epsilon = 1$ and purely imaginary if $(-1)^n\epsilon = -1$. □

**Corollary 4.15.** *If $A$ is a real $C^*$-algebra and $(\Omega,d,\int)$ a real cycle over $A$ of sign $\epsilon$ then a necessary condition for the pairing $\langle\xi, DK(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_k)\rangle$ to be non-trivial is that the dimension of the cycle has the same parity as its sign, $(-1)^n = \epsilon$.*

4.5.2. $(*,\mathfrak{r})$*-cycles.* A $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-algebra is a complex $*$-algebra equipped with a real structure $\mathfrak{r}$, that is, an anti-linear $*$-automorphism of order 2.

**Definition 4.16.** *A $(*,r)$-cycle $(\Omega,\tilde{\mathfrak{r}},d,\int)$ of parity $\mathfrak{e}\in\{\pm1\}$ over a $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ is a $*$-cycle $(\Omega,d,\int)$ over $A$ together with a real structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ on $\Omega$ which preserves the $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree, commutes with $d$ and such that $\varphi : A\to\Omega_0$ intertwines $\mathfrak{r}$ with $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$. Furthermore, the graded trace satisfies*

$$\int\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\omega) = \mathfrak{e}\overline{\int\omega}.$$

**Example 4.17** (Standard $(*,r)$-cycle)**.** We consider the standard $*$-cycle (Example 4.13) for a (graded) $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$. We assume that the $*$-derivations $\partial_j$ are compatible with the real structure $\mathfrak{r}$ in the sense that

$$\partial_j(\mathfrak{r}(a)) = \mathfrak{e}_j\partial_j(a)$$

for some $\mathfrak{e}_j \in \{\pm 1\}$. We define a real structure on the Grassmann algebra by

$$\mathfrak{r}'(\lambda_j) = \mathfrak{e}_j\lambda_j$$

so as to guarantie that $d$ commutes with the real structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}} = \mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{r}'$ on $A\otimes\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n$. We furthermore assume that the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_A$ on $A$ satisfies $\mathrm{Tr}_A(\mathfrak{r}(a)) = \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_A(a)}$. Then $(A\otimes\Lambda\mathbb{C}^n, \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}, d, \mathrm{Tr}_A\circ\imath)$ is a $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-cycle of sign $\epsilon = 1$ and parity

$$\mathfrak{e} = (-1)^{\mu(n)}\prod_{J=1}^{n}\mathfrak{e}_j.$$

Indeed, $\int\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(a\otimes v) = \mathrm{Tr}_A(\mathfrak{r}(a))\imath(\mathfrak{r}'(v)) = \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_A(a)}i^{\mu(n)}\prod_{J=1}^n\mathfrak{e}_j$ while $\overline{\int(a\otimes v)} = \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_A(a)}(-i)^{\mu(n)}$.

A $(*,r)$-cycle $(\Omega,\tilde{\mathfrak{r}},d,\int)$ over a $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ restricts to a real cycle $(\Omega^{\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}},d,\int)$ over the real subalgebra $A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ provided its parity is $\mathfrak{e}=1$. If the parity is $-1$, however, then the character of $(\Omega^{\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}},d,\int)$ on $A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ takes values in $i\mathbb{R}$. While we can always change $\mathfrak{e}$ upon multiplying $\int$ with $i$, and thus obtain a real-valued character, this will change also the sign $\epsilon$.

Recall the definition of the real structures $\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}$ on $\mathbb{C}l_{r+s}$: the first $r$ generators $\rho_i$ satisfy $\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\rho_i)=\rho_i$ whereas the last $s$ satisfy $\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\rho_i)=-\rho_i$. This implies that

$$\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\Gamma) = (-1)^{\mu(r+s)+s}\Gamma = (-1)^{\mu(r-s)}\Gamma.$$

**Lemma 4.18.** *The extension to $(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_{r+s},\mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{r,s})$ of an $n$-dimensional $(*,r)$-cycle of parity $\mathfrak{e}$ is an $n$-dimensional $(*,r)$-cycle of parity $(-1)^{\mu(r-s)+n(r-s)}\mathfrak{e}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\omega\in\Omega$ have $\mathbb{Z}$-degree $n$. Then $\jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma) = i^{n(r+s)}\omega$. Hence $\jmath(\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\omega)\hat{\otimes}\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\Gamma)) = (-1)^{\mu(r-s)}i^{n(r+s)}\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\omega)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma)) = \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(i^{n(r+s)}\omega) = -i^{n(r+s)}\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\omega)$. It follows that $\jmath\circ\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{r,s} = (-1)^{\mu(r-s)+n(r+s)}\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}\circ\jmath$ which directly implies the result. □

4.6. **Pairing with $DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s})$.** We consider now pairings with $K$-group elements of the real subalgebra $A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ of a $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ . The homotopy class of an element $x\in\mathfrak{S}(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s})$ is included (but usually smaller) in its homotopy class in $\mathfrak{S}(A\otimes\mathbb{C}l_{r+s})$ and therefore the formula from Def. 4.6 defines also a pairing with $DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s})$.

**Proposition 4.19.** *Let $(\Omega,\tilde{\mathfrak{r}},d,\int)$ be an $n$-dimensional $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-cycle over a graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ with sign $\epsilon$ and parity $\mathfrak{e}$. Let $\xi$ be its character $\xi$. Then*

$$\langle\xi, DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s})\rangle \subset i^{\mu(r-s)+n(r-s)}\sqrt{\mathfrak{e}}\mathbb{R}\cap i^n\sqrt{\epsilon}\mathbb{R}.$$

*In particular, $\langle\xi, DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s})\rangle = 0$ provided $(-1)^{\mu(r-s)+n(r-s+1)}\neq\epsilon\mathfrak{e}$.*

*Proof.* We decompose $(x-e)(dx)^n = \omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma + R$ where $R$ lies in the kernel of $\jmath$ and $\omega \in \Omega$. We thus have $\langle \xi, [x]\rangle = \int \jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma)$ and, according to Lemma 4.18

$$\int \jmath(\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\omega)\hat{\otimes}\mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\Gamma)) = (-1)^{\mu(r-s)+n(r+s)} \int \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(\jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma)) = (-1)^{\mu(r-s)+n(r+s)}\mathfrak{e}\overline{\int \jmath(\omega\hat{\otimes}\Gamma)}.$$

Hence $\langle \xi, [x]\rangle \in i^{\mu(r-s)+n(r+s)}\sqrt{\mathfrak{e}}\mathbb{R}$ if $\mathfrak{r}_{r,s}(x) = x$. The last statement is clear. □

Note that $(-1)^{\mu(i)+n(i+1)} = -(-1)^{\mu(i+2)+n(i+2+1)}$. Hence if $\langle \xi, DK(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s})\rangle \neq 0$ then $\langle \xi, DK(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r',s'})\rangle = 0$ where $r'+s' = r+s\pm 2$.

If we have a real graded algebra $A$ to begin with then we may consider its pairing with a real cycle. However, according to Lemma 4.18 the extension of the cycle to $A\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$ will, depending on the values of $r, s$, not longer be a real cycle. This shows how useful it is to consider complex $C^{*,r}$-algebras instead of their real subalgebras, even though we may always simply consider the complexification of $A$ with its natural real structure given by complex conjugation. For trivially graded real algebras we get the following stronger conditions.

**Corollary 4.20.** *Let $A$ be a trivially graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra. Necessary conditions for an $n$-dimensional $(*,r)$-cycle of sign $\epsilon$ and parity $\mathfrak{e}$ over $A$ to pair non-trivially with $KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ are that $(-1)^{\mu(1-i)+i} = \mathfrak{e}\epsilon$ and $n+i$ is even. In particular, when these conditions are met the cycle pairs trivially with $KO_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ for $k \notin 4\mathbb{Z}$.*

*Proof.* Since $A$ is trivially graded then, by Lemma 4.10 $n-(r+s+1)$ must even. In that case $n(r-s)$ must even. Furthermore, $1-i = r-s$ so $n-i$ must be even. Hence the condition of the last proposition to pair non-trivially reduces to $(-1)^{\mu(1-i)+i} = \mathfrak{e}\epsilon$.

The last statement follows from the fact that the conditions can only be satisfied for one value of $i$ modulo 4. □

4.7. **Examples.** We discuss a variety of simple examples.

4.7.1. *Trace on $\mathbb{C}$.* Consider the $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{c})$ with trivial grading. One easily sees that $(\mathbb{C}, 0, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\mathrm{id})$ is a 0-dimensional $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-cycle of sign and parity $+1$ over $(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{c})$. We denote its character by $\mathrm{ch}_0$. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is trivially graded only pairings with even $K$-group elements may be non-zero.

We pair with $KU_0(\mathbb{C}) = DK_e(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1)$. We have $\Gamma = \rho_1$, the generator of $\mathbb{C}l_1$ and we choose $e = -1\otimes\rho_1$ as base point. Any OSU is of the form $x = h\otimes\rho_1$ for some self-adjoint unitary $h \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ which we can write as $h = 2p-1$ with some projection $p$. Thus

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, [x]\rangle = 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}2^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{Tr}\jmath(x-e_m) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(2p) = \mathrm{Tr}(p).$$

We consider pairings with the $KO$-groups of the real subalgebra $\mathbb{R}$. As $(-1)^{\mu(0)} = +1$ pairings with elements of $KO_i(\mathbb{R})$ for $i = 2$ and 6 have to vanish by Cor. 4.20. This is to be expected as $KO_2(\mathbb{R})$ is pure torsion and $KO_6(\mathbb{R}) = 0$.

For pairings with elements of $KO_0(\mathbb{R}) = DK_{1\otimes\rho}(\mathbb{R}\otimes Cl_{1,0})$ the analysis is exactly as in the complex case $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, [x]\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(p)$ where $x = (2p-1)\otimes\rho$, the only difference being that $p$ is a projection in $M_m(\mathbb{R})$. In particular, $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_0(\mathbb{R})\rangle = \mathbb{Z}$.

Finally we consider pairing with elements from $KO_4(\mathbb{R}) \cong DK(\mathbb{R}\otimes Cl_{0,3})$. These are restrictions to the real sub-algebra of the pairing with $DK(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}l_3)$ which we discussed above. Let $e = 1\hat{\otimes}\rho \in \mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1$. By Lemma 2.1 the isomorphism $\psi_e : (\mathbb{C}l_2\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{st}\otimes\mathrm{st}) \to (M_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{C}l_1, \mathrm{id}_2\otimes\mathrm{st})$ intertwines the real structure $\mathfrak{l}_{0,2}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{0,1}$ with the real structure $\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{1,0}$ where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_y}\circ\mathfrak{c}$. Thus we obtain from (6) that $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, [x]\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(P)$ where $P = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_e(x)+1)$ is a projection in $M_m(\mathbb{H})$. Here $\mathbb{H} = M_2(\mathbb{C})^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is the (trivially graded) algebra of quaternions. $M_m(\mathbb{H})$ contains only projections of even rank. Indeed, any eigenvalue of a self-adjoint element in $M_m(\mathbb{C})$ which is invariant under $\mathfrak{h}_m$ has to be evenly degenerated (this is Kramer's degeneracy in physics). Thus $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_4(\mathbb{R})\rangle = 2\mathbb{Z}$.

4.7.2. *Winding number cycle.* Consider $C^\infty(S^1)$, the algebra of complex-valued smooth functions over the circle $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. $S^1$ is a closed oriented manifold and we may consider the cycle $(\Omega(S^1), d_{S^1}, \int_{S^1})$ given by the exterior algebra with exterior differential $(\Omega(S^1), d_{S^1})$ on $S^1$ and graded trace $\int_{S^1}$ which is the integral over 1-forms which we normalise in such a way that $\int_{S^1} dt = \frac{1}{2}$. This is a cycle over $C^\infty(S^1)$ and by completing $\Omega(S^1)$ in the sup-norm (we denote this completion by $\Omega_{S^1}$) we obtain an unbounded cycle over $C(S^1)$ whose domain algebra is $C^\infty(S^1)$ as it is closed under holomorphic functional calculus. The $*$-structure on $\Omega_{S^1}$ being complex conjugation it is easy to see that we have a 1-dimensional $*$-cycle with sign $\epsilon = +1$. We denote its character by $\mathrm{ch}_1$. It can pair non-trivially only with odd $K$-group elements. Lemma 4.14 predicts that the values of its pairing are purely imaginary.

We consider pairing with $KU_1(C(S^1)) = DK_{\sigma_x}(C(S^1)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2)$. Upon writing an OSU $x \in C(S^1)\otimes\mathbb{C}l_2$ as $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U^* \\ U & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, with some unitary $U(t)$ in $M_m(\mathbb{C})$, we obtain

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_1, [x]\rangle = 2\int_{S^1} \jmath((x-e_m)dx) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{Tr}((U(t)^*-1)U'(t))dt.$$

We recognise this as $2\pi i$ times the winding number of the determinant of $U$ which is why we call the above cycle the winding number cycle.

Since $C(S^1)$ is the unitization of the suspension $S\mathbb{C} = C_0((0,1),\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathbb{C}$ the above cycle is also a cycle for $S\mathbb{C}$.

There are two important real structures on $C(S^1)$, point-wise complex conjugation $\mathfrak{c}(f) = \overline{f}$ and the composition of the latter with the automorphism induced by a reflection $t \mapsto 1-t$, $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}(f)(t) = \overline{f(1-t)}$. They both restrict to the suspension $S\mathbb{C}$ and the real subalgebra of $(S\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{c})$ is the (standard) suspension $S\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{R}$, while the real subalgebra of $(S\mathbb{C}, \hat{\mathfrak{c}})$ is, by definition, the dual suspension $\tilde{S}\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{R}$.

Let us consider first the real structure $\mathfrak{c}$ given by point-wise complex conjugation. It is rather straighforward to see that by putting on $\Omega_{S^1}$ the real structure given by point-wise complex conjugation the cycle becomes a $(*,r)$ cycle of parity $+1$. We consider its pairings with elements of the real $K$-groups $KO_i(C(S^1)^{\mathfrak{c}})$. There are four cases to consider, and Cor. 4.20 predicts that only two are potentially non-trivial, namely those for which $(-1)^{\mu(1-i)} = -1$, that is, $i = -1$ and $i = 3$. This can be understood on a more elementary level if one considers the characterisation of the $KO$-group elements via constraints on the unitary $U$ [22, 4]: If $i = 1$ then $U(t)$ must be real and hence its determinant must be constant and so cannot wind (a similar argument involving quaternions holds for $i = 5$). If $i = -1$ then $\overline{U(t)} = U(t)^*$. This condition does not inhibit the determinant to wind, it is, for instance, satisfied by $U(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ and hence we see that

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_1, KO_{-1}(C(S^1, \mathbb{R}))\rangle = 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}.$$

If $i = 3$ then $\overline{U(t)} = -U(t)^*$. This implies that the dimension of $U(t)$ has to be even and $\overline{\det(U - \lambda 1)} = \det(\overline{U} - \overline{\lambda}1) = (-1)^{2m}\det(U^* + \overline{\lambda}1) = \overline{\det(U + \lambda 1)}$, and hence that with $\lambda(t)$ also $-\lambda(t)$ is an eigenvalue of $U(t)$. Since both have the same winding number we see that the winding number of $U$ must be even. Thus

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_1, KO_3(C(S^1, \mathbb{R}))\rangle = 4\pi i\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let us now consider the real structure $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ defining the twisted suspension $\tilde{S}\mathbb{R}$. The question is whether we can find a real structure $\tilde{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ on $\Omega$ such that the winding number cycle becomes a $(*,r)$-cycle.

We have $df = \partial_t f dt$ hence $d\hat{\mathfrak{c}}(f) = -\hat{\mathfrak{c}}(\partial_t f)dt$. Hence in order for the differential to commute with the real structure $\tilde{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$ we need to set

$$\tilde{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}(f dt) = -\hat{\mathfrak{c}}(f)dt.$$

In other words, $\tilde{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}$-invariant 1-forms are of the form $if dt$ where $f \in \tilde{S}\mathbb{R}$. It follows that $(\Omega_{S^1}, \tilde{\hat{\mathfrak{c}}}, d_{S^1}, \int_{S^1})$ is a $(*,r)$-cycle of parity $\mathfrak{e} = -1$.

Cor. 4.20 predicts now that the pairing is only non-trivial for odd $i$ which satisfy $(-1)^{\mu(1-i)} = 1$, that is, $i = 1$ and $i = 5$. The elements of $KO_1(\tilde{S}\mathbb{R})$ correspond to unitaries satisfying $\overline{U(t)} = U(1-t)$, a condition which is satisfied by $U(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ and hence

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_1, KO_1(\tilde{S}\mathbb{R})\rangle = 2\pi i\mathbb{Z}.$$

4.8. **Suspension of cycles.** Let $(\Omega, d, \int)$ be an $n$-dimensional possibly unbounded $*$-cycle over a graded $C^*$-algebra $A$ with domain algebra $\mathcal{A}$. One can construct an unbounded $n+1$-dimensional $*$-cycle over the suspension $SA = C_0((0,1), A)$ of $A$ which we see as a subalgebra of $A \otimes C(S^1)$. For that we consider the product of $(\Omega, d, \int)$ with the winding number cycle discussed above, and restrict this to $SA$. This so-called suspension of the original cycle is the cycle $(\Omega \wedge \Omega_{S^1}, d^s, \int^s)$ where

$d^s(\omega \wedge \mu) = d\omega \wedge \mu + (-1)^{|\omega|_{\mathbb{Z}}}\omega \wedge d_{S^1}\mu$ and

$$\int^s (\omega \wedge d_{S^1}\mu) = i^n \frac{1}{2} \int \omega \int_0^1 \dot{\mu}(t) dt.$$

Here $\Omega \wedge \Omega_{S^1}$ is the graded tensor product w.r.t. the $\mathbb{Z}$-degree (taken modulo 2) and hence $(\omega \wedge \mu)^* = (-1)^{|\omega|_{\mathbb{Z}}|\mu|_{\mathbb{Z}}}\omega^* \wedge \mu^*$. The choice of the pre-factor $i^n$ guarantees that the suspension cycle remains a $*$-cycle of the same sign, if $(\Omega, d, \int)$ is a $*$-cycle, as $\omega$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-degree equal to the dimension $n$ of the cycle.

Let $\mathcal{SA} \subset SA$ be the dense subalgebra of continuous, piecewise smooth functions from $[0,1]$ into $\mathcal{A}$ which vanish at the end points. This is a domain algebra for the suspension of the original cycle. Indeed, (C1) and (C2) are directly shown and (C3) follows as $C^\infty([0,1], \mathcal{B})$ is, for any complex algebra $\mathcal{B}$ which is closed under holomorphic functional calculus, also closed under holomorphic functional calculus. To see that it satisfies (C4) let $f \in SA$ and so $f$ is a continuous function with values in $\mathcal{SA}$. Let $b > 0$. We can partition $[0,1]$ into intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 \cdots t_N = 1$ such that for all $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we have $\|f(t) - f(t_i)\| < b$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a domain algebra, there are $\tilde{f}_i \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_i - f(t_i)\| < b$. By Lemma 4.1 there is a smooth path $\tilde{f}_i(s)$ from $\tilde{f}_i = \tilde{f}_i(0)$ to $\tilde{f}_{i+1} = \tilde{f}_i(1)$ in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$ such that $\|\tilde{f}_i(s) - \tilde{f}_i\| \leq C(3b)$ for all $s \in [0,1]$ where $C : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function with $C(0) = 0$. Concatenating the paths $\tilde{f}_0, \cdots, \tilde{f}_{N-1}$ to one path $\tilde{f}$ yields a continuous, piecewise smooth loop in $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$ which has distance $\sup_t \|\tilde{f}(t) - f(t)\| \leq 3b + C(3b)$ from $f$. This proves (C4).

If $\xi$ is the character of the original cycle then we denote by $\xi^S$ the character of its suspension.

If $A$ is a $C^{*,r}$-algebra then the suspension of an $n$-dimensional $(*, r)$-cycle is an $n+1$-dimensional $(*, r)$-cycle with the same sign and parity.

The following lemma is a generalisation to graded $C^*$-algebras of Pimsner's formula [27].

**Lemma 4.21.** *Let $A$ be a graded complex $C^*$-algebra and $\xi$ be the character of a (possibly unbounded) $n$-dimensional cycle over $A$. Then*

$$\langle \xi^S, \beta[x] \rangle = c_n \langle \xi, [x] \rangle$$

*where*

$$c_{2k} = -\frac{i\pi(k+1)}{2^{2k+\frac{1}{2}}} \binom{2k+1}{k}, \quad c_{2k+1} = -i\frac{2^{2k+1+\frac{1}{2}}}{\binom{2k+1}{k}}.$$

*Proof.* We first need the following observation: If $e$ is an OSI in $A$ and $w$ a even unitary in $A$ then $[wew^{-1}] = -[w^{-1}ew]$ as elements in $DK_e(A)$. Indeed, $w \oplus w^{-1}$ is homotopic to $1 \oplus 1$ in the set of even unitaries of $A$, and therefore $wew^{-1} \oplus w^{-1}ew$ osu-homotopic to $e \oplus e$, which represents the neutral element in $DK_e(A)$. Using this we obtain from Theorem 3.1

$$\beta[x] = -[Z], \quad Z = \nu(e_m)\nu^{-1}(x)(1\hat{\otimes}\rho_m)\nu(x)\nu^{-1}(e_m).$$

We abbreviate $w_t = \nu_t(x)$ and $r_t = \nu_t(e_m)$. Taking into account that $x\hat{\otimes}1$ and $1\hat{\otimes}\rho_m$ anticommute,

$$b_t := w_t^{-1}(1\hat{\otimes}\rho_m)w_t = 1\hat{\otimes}\rho_m(c_t\hat{\otimes}1 + x\hat{\otimes}\rho_m s_t)^2 = c_{2t}\hat{\otimes}\rho_m - xs_{2t}\hat{\otimes}1.$$

A direct calculation shows that $\dot{Z} = r_t\big(r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t b_t + \dot{b}_t - b_t r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t\big)r_t^{-1}$. Hence

$$Z(d^sZ)^{n+1} \;=\; \sum_{k=0}^{n} r_t\big(b_t(db_t)^k(r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t b_t + \dot{b} - b_t r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t)dt(db)^{n-k}\big)r_t^{-1}$$

Under the cyclicity of the graded trace, the conjugation with $r_t$ simply drops out. Furthermore $r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t = \frac{\pi}{2}e_m\hat{\otimes}\rho_m$ and $\dot{b}_t = -\pi(xc_{2t}\hat{\otimes}1 + s_{2t}\hat{\otimes}\rho)$ so that

$$\big(r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t b_t + \dot{b}_t - b_t r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t\big) = \pi\big(c_{2t}(e-x)\hat{\otimes}1 + (\frac{xe+ex}{2} - 1)s_{2t}\hat{\otimes}\rho\big)$$

Now all terms which are even powers in $\rho$ lie in the kernel of $\jmath$, as $\Gamma = \rho$. Furthermore, not counting the derivatives $dx$, all terms with even powers of $x$ yields terms which are total derivatives, because $x^2 = 1$, an identity which can be used after permuting $x$ with $dx$ or permuting $x$ cyclicly.Thus the only terms from $b_t(db_t)^k([r_t^{-1}\dot{r}_t, b_t] + \dot{b})$ are not in the kernel of $\int^s \jmath$ are

$$\pi(c_{2t}\hat{\otimes}\rho)(db_t)^k(-xc_{2t}\hat{\otimes}1) - \pi(xs_{2t}\hat{\otimes}1)(db_t)^k(-s_{2t}\hat{\otimes}\rho) = \pi(x\hat{\otimes}1)(db_t)^k(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)$$

where we have used $(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)(db_t)^k(x\hat{\otimes}1) = (-1)^{k+1}(db_t)^k(x\hat{\otimes}1)(1\hat{\otimes}\rho) = -(x\hat{\otimes}1)(db_t)^k(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)$. We thus get, using $db_t = -s_{2t}dx\hat{\otimes}1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int^s \jmath\big(Z(d^sZ)^{n+1}\big) &= \pi\sum_{k=0}^{n}\int^s \jmath\big((x\hat{\otimes}1)(db_t)^k(dt\hat{\otimes}\rho)(db_t)^{n-k}\big)\\
&= \pi\sum_{k=0}^{n}\int^s \jmath\big((-s_{2t})^n x(dx)^n dt\hat{\otimes}\rho\big)\\
&= i\pi(n+1)\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \sin^n(\pi t)dt\int x(dx)^n
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equality we used that $dt$ anticommutes with $dx$ as the latter has $\mathbb{Z}$-degree 1 while $1\hat{\otimes}\rho$ anticommutes with $dx\hat{\otimes}1$ because the latter has $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree 1. For the third equality we used $\jmath(x(dx)^n dt\hat{\otimes}\rho) = i^{n+1}x(dx)^n dt$, since the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree of $x(dx)^n dt$ is $n+1$, and $\int^s f(t)(x(dx)^n dt) = i^n\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 f(t)dt\int x(dx)^n$, as the $\mathbb{Z}$-degree of $x(dx)^n$ is $n$. Since $\langle\xi,[x]\rangle = 2^{\frac{k}{2}}\int x(dx)^n$ and $\langle\xi^S,\beta[x]\rangle = -2^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\int^s \jmath\big(Z(d^sZ)^{n+1}\big)$

$$c_n = -i\pi 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(n+1)\int_0^1 \sin^n(\pi t)dt.$$

Finally

$$\int_0^1 \sin^n(\pi t)dt = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n}\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \\ \frac{2^n}{n\pi}\binom{n-1}{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

□

## 5. A torsion valued pairing with $K_n(A^{\mathfrak{r}}, \gamma)$

Let $(A, \gamma, \mathfrak{r})$ be a balanced graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra. The pairing with cycles satisfies

$$\langle \eta, DK(A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes Cl_{r,s})\rangle \subset \langle \eta, DK(A \otimes \mathbb{C}l_{r+s})\rangle$$

but torsion elements, which typically arise in $DK(A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes Cl_{r,s})$, must pair trivially. Nevertheless, we can obtain a torsion-valued pairing via a mecanism which is reminiscent of the determinant of de la Harpe and Skandalis [18, 3].

Consider the two graded algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} j &: A^{\mathfrak{r}} \to A^{\mathfrak{r}} \hat{\otimes} Cl_{0,1}, \qquad j(x) = x\hat{\otimes}1 \\ j' &: A^{\mathfrak{r}} \to A^{\mathfrak{r}} \hat{\otimes} Cl_{1,0}, \qquad j'(x) = x\hat{\otimes}1 \end{aligned}$$

and the maps they induce on $K$-theory

$$\begin{aligned} j_* &: DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}} \hat{\otimes} Cl_{r,s}) \;\to\; DK_{e\hat{\otimes}1}(A^{\mathfrak{r}} \hat{\otimes} Cl_{r,s+1}), \\ j'_* &: DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}} \hat{\otimes} Cl_{r,s}) \;\to\; DK_{e\hat{\otimes}1}(A^{\mathfrak{r}} \hat{\otimes} Cl_{r+1,s}). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $x, x' \in \mathfrak{S}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$. Then $x\hat{\otimes}1$ and $x'\hat{\otimes}1$ are osu-homotopic in $A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,0}$. In particular, $j'_*$ is the zero map.*

*Proof.* Let $\rho$ be the odd generator of $Cl_{1,0}$. $x\hat{\otimes}1$ and $x'\hat{\otimes}1$ anti-commute with $1\hat{\otimes}\rho \in Cl_{r,s}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,0}$ and hence are both homotopic to it. □

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let $(A, \mathfrak{r})$ be a graded unital $C^{*,r}$-algebra. Let $x \in M_n(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$ be an OSU representing an element of $\ker j_*$. There exists an OSU-valued loop $\mathcal{L}_x \in M_m(SA)\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_{r+s+1}$, for sufficiently large $m$, which satisfies*

*(1) $\mathcal{L}_x(0) = \mathcal{L}_x(1) = e\hat{\otimes}1$ and $\mathcal{L}_x(\frac{1}{2}) = x\hat{\otimes}1$*
*(2) For $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}$: $\mathfrak{r}_{r+1,s}(\mathcal{L}_x(t)) = \mathcal{L}_x(t)$.*
*(3) For $\frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1$: $\mathfrak{r}_{r,s+1}(\mathcal{L}_x(t)) = \mathcal{L}_x(t)$.*

*If $\mathcal{L}'_{x'}$ is another loop satisfying the above three conditions and such that $x'$ is osu-homotopic to $x$ then it differs from $\mathcal{L}_x$ by a concatenation with a loop in $M_m(SA^{\mathfrak{r}})\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r+1,s}$ and a loop in $M_m(SA^{\mathfrak{r}})\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s+1}$.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.7 there exists a $\mathfrak{r}_{r+1,s}$-invariant homotopy between $e\hat{\otimes}1$ and $x\hat{\otimes}1$ which we take for the first half of the loop $\mathcal{L}_x$.

Since $j_*([x]) = 0$ the representative $x\hat{\otimes}1$ is homotopic to $e\hat{\otimes}1$ (possibly after stabilisation) via an $\mathfrak{r}_{r,s+1}$-invariant homotopy which we take for the second half of the loop $\mathcal{L}_x$. The last statement is clear. □

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ be a graded unital $C^{*,r}$-algebra. Let $(\Omega,d,\int)$ be a possibly unbounded $n$-dimensional $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-cycle over $SA$ of sign $\epsilon$ and parity $\mathfrak{e}$ with character $\eta$. Then*

$$\ker j_* \subset DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}) \to i^n\sqrt{\epsilon}\mathbb{R}/\langle \eta, DK_{1\hat{\otimes}\rho}(SA^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r+k,s+1-k})\rangle$$

$$[x] \mapsto \langle \eta, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle$$

*is a group homomorphism, where $k=0$ if $(-1)^{\mu(r-s-1)+n(r-s)} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$ and $k=1$ otherwise.*

*Proof.* By Prop. 5.2 different choices for the loop $\mathcal{L}_x$ may affect the value of the pairing $\langle \eta, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle$ through addition of elements from $\langle \eta, DK(SA^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r+k,s+1-k})\rangle$ for $k=1$ and $k=0$. Only one of the two choices can satisfy the condition of Prop. 4.19, which here reads $(-1)^{\mu(r-s+2k-1)+n(r-s+2k)} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$, and thus may have non-vanishing image. If $(-1)^{\mu(r-s-1)+n(r-s)} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$ this is the one with $k=0$ and otherwise it is the one with $k=1$. Thus quotiening out the non-vanishing image we obtain a well defined map.

It remains to see that this map is additive. But this follows as usual as addition corresponds effectively to taking the direct sum. □

We combine this with suspension to obtain one of our main results.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let $(A,\gamma,\mathfrak{r})$ be a unital graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra. Let $\xi$ be the character of a (possibly unbounded) $n$-dimensional $(*,\mathfrak{r})$-cycle over $A$ of sign $\epsilon$ and parity $\mathfrak{e}$. Let $\xi^S$ be the character of the suspension of the cycle over $SA$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\xi : \ker j_* \subset K_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma) &\to \langle \xi, K_i(A,\gamma)\rangle/\langle \xi, K_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma)\rangle \\ \Delta_\xi([x]) &:= c_n^{-1}\langle \xi^S, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle \bmod \langle \xi, K_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma)\rangle\end{aligned}$$

*is a group homomorphism. Here $k=2$ if $(-1)^{\mu(-i)+(n+1)(1-i)} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$ and $k=0$ otherwise.*

We refer to the map $(\xi,[x]) \mapsto \Delta_\xi([x])$ as the *torsion valued pairing* between the character $\xi$ and the $K$-group element $[x]$.

*Proof.* We apply Cor. 5.3 to the suspension cycle over $SA$. It is $n+1$-dimensional and has sign $\epsilon$ and parity $\mathfrak{e}$. Hence $[x] \mapsto \langle \xi^S, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle$ yields a group homomorphism into $\langle \xi^S, K_{i-1}(SA,\gamma)\rangle/\langle \xi^S, K_{i-2k+1}(SA^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma)\rangle$ where $k=0$ if $(-1)^{\mu(-i)+(n+1)(1-i)} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$ (the dimension of $\xi^S$ is $n+1$) and otherwise $k=1$. Now the result follows using Lemma 4.21, that is $\langle \xi^S, K_{i-1}(SA,\gamma)\rangle = c_n\langle \xi, K_i(A,\gamma)\rangle$ and $\langle \xi^S, K_{i+1-2k}(SA^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma)\rangle = c_n\langle \xi, K_{i+2-2k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}},\gamma)\rangle$. □

**Remark 5.5.** *Recall that for a trivially graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra we must have that $n+i$ is even for the pairing to be non-zero and hence the condition above for $k$ to be 2 reduces to $(-1)^{\mu(i)+1} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$.*

**Remark 5.6.** In the case that $A$ is trivially graded and $i = 0$ (pairing with $KO_0(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$) the first half of the loop $\mathcal{L}_x$ may be taken to be "half" of the Bott map. Indeed, the loop $Y$ from (3) satisfies $Y(0) = e\hat{\otimes}1$ and $Y(\frac{1}{2}) = (p - p^\perp)e\hat{\otimes}1 = x\hat{\otimes}1$ and $\mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{1,0}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{1,0}(Y(t)) = Y(t)$. We may therefore take

$$\mathcal{L}_x(t) = Y(t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}].$$

The other half of $\mathcal{L}_x$ is thus a contraction from $Y(\frac{1}{2})$ back to $e\hat{\otimes}1$ which is pointwise invariant under $\mathfrak{r}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{1,0}\otimes\mathfrak{l}_{0,1}$. When using the identification between van Daele's desciption of $DK(A\hat{\otimes}\mathbb{C}l_2, \mathrm{id}\otimes\mathrm{st})$ with standard $KU_1(A)$ which we discussed above (4), the upper right corner of $Y(t)$ corresponds to the loop $V(t) = e^{-2\pi i t p^\perp}$ which satisfies the symmetry $\mathfrak{r}(V(t)) = V(t)^* = V(-t)$, and the contraction to a path $\hat{V}(t)$ with symmetry $\mathfrak{r}(\hat{V}(t)) = \hat{V}(t)$ (see [22][Sect. 5.6]).

5.1. **A special case.** We investigate now the pairing under a further assumption, namely that for the given OSUs $x, e \in A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$ there exists an even anti-self adjoint unitary $y \in A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$ which commutes with $x$ and $e$, and is invariant under the derivations defining the cycle.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let $(A, \mathfrak{r}, \gamma)$ be a balanced graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra with base point $e$. Let $x \in A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ be another OSU. If $A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ contains an even anti-selfadjoint unitary which commutes with $x$ and $e$ then $x\hat{\otimes}1$ and $e\hat{\otimes}1$ are osu-homotopic in $A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{0,1}$. In particular, $j_*([x]) = 0$.*

*Furthermore, the concatenation of the four paths ($i = 0, \cdots, 3$)*

$$\mathcal{L}_x(t) = \quad F_i(4(t - \tfrac{i}{4})) \quad \text{for } t \in [\tfrac{i}{4}, \tfrac{i+1}{4}]$$

*where*

$$F_i(t) = c_t a_i + s_t a_{i+1}$$

*with $t \in [0, 1]$ and $a_0 = e\hat{\otimes}1$, $a_1 = 1\hat{\otimes}\rho$, $a_2 = x\hat{\otimes}1$, $a_3 = y\hat{\otimes}i\rho$, and $a_4 = a_0$, is a loop satisfying the requirements of Cor. 5.3 (with $r = s = 0$). Here $\rho$ is a generator of square 1 of the additional $Cl_{1,0}$ and hence $i\rho$ is a generator of square $-1$ of $Cl_{0,1}$.*

*Proof.* Clearly $F_i(1) = F_{i+1}(0)$. All $a_i$ are OSUs and $a_i$ anticommutes with $a_{i+1}$. Thus the $F_0$ and $F_1$ are OSU-valued paths in $A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{1,0}$ whereas $F_2$ and $F_3$ are OSU-valued paths in $A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{0,1}$. In particular, $F_2(0) = x\hat{\otimes}1$ is osu-homotopic to $F_3(1) = e\hat{\otimes}1$ in $A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{0,1}$. □

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let $(A, \mathfrak{r}, \gamma)$ be a balanced graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra. All elements of $\mathrm{im}j_*$ have order 2. In particular, $2K_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}}) \subset \ker j_*$, and if $K_{i+1}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ does not contain 2-torsion elements then $\ker j_* = K_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$.*

*Proof.* Let $e$ be a basepoint and $x$ an OSU representing an element of $K_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$. Then $y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is an even anti-selfadjoint element commuting with $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$

and $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$. By the last lemma therefore, $[x \oplus x]$ lies in the kernel of $j_*$. Hence $2j_*([x]) = 0$. □

Let $\mu'(i) := \mu(i) - \mu(i-1)$ which is 1 if $i$ is even and 0 otherwise.

**Proposition 5.9.** *Let $(A, \mathfrak{r}, \gamma)$ be a balanced graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra. Let $\xi$ be the character of an $n$-dimensional $(*, \mathfrak{r}) - cycle$ $(\Omega, d, \int)$ over $A$. Let $e$ be a basepoint in $A^{\mathfrak{r}}\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$ which satisfies $de = 0$ and $[x] \in K_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}}, \gamma)$ be represented by an OSU $x \in M_m(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$, $1-i = r-s$. If $M_m(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\hat{\otimes}Cl_{r,s}$ contains an even anti-selfadjoint unitary $y$ which commutes with $x$ and $e$ and satisfies $dy = 0$ then*

$$\Delta_\xi([x]) = i^{n-1+\mu'(r+s+1)} 2^{\frac{r+s}{2}} \int \mathrm{Tr}\jmath_{r+s}(p_y(x-e_m)(dx)^n) \ mod\ \langle \xi, K_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}}, \gamma)\rangle$$

*where $p_y = \frac{1-iy}{2}$ is the projector onto the eigenspace of $y$ to eigenvalue $i$ and $k$ depends on $n$, the sign and the parity of the cycle as indicated in Thm. 5.4.*

*Proof.* For simplicity we assume $m = 1$, the case of higher $m$ being a simple adaptation. We may use the loop $\mathcal{L}_x$ of Lemma 5.7. Since $a_\nu$ and $a_{\nu+1}$ are anticommuting we find easily $F_\nu \partial_t F_\nu = \frac{\pi}{2} a_\nu a_{\nu+1}$ with with

$$a_0 a_1 = e\hat{\otimes}\rho, \quad a_1 a_2 = -x\hat{\otimes}\rho, \quad a_2 a_3 = iyx\hat{\otimes}\rho, \quad a_3 a_0 = -iye\hat{\otimes}\rho.$$

We consider first the case $n > 0$. Given the invariance of $y$ and $e$ under the derivations, $dF_\nu$ vanish for $\nu = 0, 3$. As for $\nu = 1, 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i F_1 &= s_t \partial_i x\hat{\otimes}1, \quad \partial_t F_1 = \tfrac{\pi}{2}(c_t(x\hat{\otimes}1) - s_t(1\hat{\otimes}\rho)) \\ \partial_i F_2 &= c_t \partial_i x\hat{\otimes}1, \quad \partial_t F_2 = \tfrac{\pi}{2}(c_t(y\hat{\otimes}i\rho) - s_t(x\hat{\otimes}1)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_i x\hat{\otimes}1$ anticommutes with $x\hat{\otimes}1$, $y\hat{\otimes}i\rho$, and $1\hat{\otimes}\rho$, we see that $\partial_t F_\nu$ anticommutes with $\partial_i F_\nu$ (for $\nu = 1, 2$) so that

$$F_\nu (d^s F_\nu)^{n+1} = (-1)^n (n+1) F_\nu \partial_t F_\nu (dF_\nu)^n dt.$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{\nu=0}^{3} F_\nu (d^s F_\nu)^{n+1} &= (-1)^n \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{\nu=1}^{2} F_\nu \partial_t F_\nu (dF_\nu)^n dt \\ &= (-1)^n (a_1 a_2 s_t^n + a_2 a_3 c_t^n)(dx)^n \hat{\otimes} 1 \, dt \\ &= (-x s_t^n + iyx c_t^n)(dx)^n \hat{\otimes}\rho \, dt \end{aligned}$$

Using $\jmath_{r+s+1}(a\hat{\otimes}\rho) = i^{\mu(r+s+1)-\mu(r+s)}\jmath_{r+s}(a)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 s_t^n dt = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 c_t^n dt = \frac{i 2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi(n+1)} c_n$ we have

$$\int^s \jmath_{r+s+1}(\mathcal{L}_x d^s \mathcal{L}_x)^{n+1} = i^n i 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} i^{\mu(r+s+1)-\mu(r+s)} c_n \int \jmath_{r+s}(-x + iyx)(dx)^n)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{-1}\langle \xi^S, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle &= 2^{\frac{r+s+1}{2}} c_n^{-1} \int \jmath_{r+s+1}(\mathcal{L}_x d^s \mathcal{L}_x)^{n+1} \\ &= -i^{n+1+\mu'(r+s+1)} 2^{\frac{r+s}{2}} \int \jmath_{r+s}(p_y x (dx)^n) \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider $n = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{\nu=0}^{3} F_\nu (d^s F_\nu) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{3} a_\nu a_{\nu+1}\, dt \\ &= (iy-1)(x-e)\hat{\otimes}\rho\, dt \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$c_0^{-1}\langle \xi^S, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle = -i^{1+\mu'(r+s+1)} 2^{\frac{r+s}{2}} \int \jmath_{r+s}(p_y(x-e))$$

□

For the remainder of this section we restrict ourselfs to the case that $n$ is even and $A$ trivially graded. Note that $\tilde{x} = -iyx$ is also an OSU but $\mathfrak{r}\otimes \mathfrak{l}_{r,s}(\tilde{x}) = -\tilde{x}$. Given that $n$ is even we have $-iyx(dx)^n = \tilde{x}(d\tilde{x})^n$ so that we may also write

$$p_y(x-e_m)(dx)^n = \frac{1}{2}\left((x-e_m)(dx)^n + (\tilde{x}-e_m)(d\tilde{x})^n\right).$$

Given that $A$ is trivially graded, we may assume that $i = 1-r+s$ has the same parity as $n$ (as otherwise the pairing is trivial) and hence $n+1+\mu'(r+s+1) = n+1+\mu'(n) = n+2$ which implies that $i^{n-1+\mu'(r+s+1)} = i^n$. Thus the expression simplifies to

$$c_n^{-1}\langle \xi^S, [\mathcal{L}_x]\rangle = i^n \frac{1}{2}\left(\langle \xi, [x]\rangle + \langle \xi, [\tilde{x}]\rangle\right) \tag{7}$$

($n$ is even).

**Corollary 5.10.** *With the notation above and the assumptions of Prop. 5.9, if $\xi$ is the character of an even dimensional cycle of sign $\epsilon$ and parity $\mathfrak{e}$ over a trivially graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A,\mathfrak{r})$ then $\Delta_\xi : \ker j_* \subset KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}}) \to \langle \xi, KU_i(A)\rangle / \langle \xi, KO_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle$ is given by*

$$\Delta_\xi([x]) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, [z_k]\rangle \bmod \langle \xi, KO_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle$$

*where $k = 0$ and $z_0 = x$ if $(-1)^{\mu(i)} = \epsilon\mathfrak{e}$ whereas otherwise $k = 2$ and $z_2 = \tilde{x}$. In any case $\Delta_\xi([x])$ has order 2.*

*Proof.* Since $A$ is trivially graded the above pairings are trivial if $i$ is not also even which we therefore assume. We recall from the remark after Thm. 5.4 that $k = 0$ if $\mu(i)$ and $\epsilon\mathfrak{e}$ have the same parity whereas otherwise $k = 2$, a condition which also implies that $\langle \xi, KU_{i+k\pm 2}(A)\rangle = 0$ (see the proof of Cor. 5.3). Hence, depending on the value of $k$ either the pairing with $[x]$ or that with $[\tilde{x}]$ vanishes in (7).

That $\Delta_\xi([x])$ has order 2 can be seen as follows: If $k=0$ then the pairing with $[\tilde{x}]$ vanishes and $\langle \xi, [x]\rangle$ lies in the quotient module. If $k=2$ then the pairing with $[x]$ vanishes and hence taking $-y$ in place of $y$ would change the sign of the pre-factor. However the result must be the same and therefore $2\Delta_\xi([x])$ belong to the quotient module. Hence $\Delta_\xi([x])$ has order 2 which also shows that the pre-factor $i^n$ does not matter. □

We summarize: Let $(\Omega, d, \int)$ be an even dimensional $(*, \mathfrak{r})$-cycle over a balanced trivially graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A, \mathfrak{r}, \gamma)$ whose character we denote $\xi$. For simplicity we say that an element of $DK_e(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ satisfies property $Y$ if it has a representative $x \in M_m(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ for which there exists an even $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant anti-selfadjoint unitary $y \in M_m(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ which commutes with $x$ and $e_m$ and is such that $dy = 0$. If an element of $KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ has a representative $x$ satisfying property $Y$ then $\Delta_\xi([x]) \in \frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, KO_{i+k}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_2$ where $k$ is as in the above corrolary. If all elements of $KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ have a representative satisfying property $Y$ then $\ker j_* = KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$.

Let $x$ be a representative for a class in $KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ which satisfies property $Y$ and $\tilde{x} = -iyx$. Note that $\tilde{x}$ satisfies also property $Y$ and $\tilde{\tilde{x}} = x$. We claim that $[\tilde{x}] \in KO_{i+2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ provided $i = 0, 4$ whereas $[\tilde{x}] \in KO_{i-2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ if $i = 2, 6$. Indeed, if $i = 0$ then $x = h \otimes \rho \in A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes Cl_{1,0}$ for a self-adjoint unitary $h$ and hence $iyx = yh \otimes (i\rho) \in A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes Cl_{0,1}$. Likewise, if $i = 2$ then $x = h \otimes (i\rho) \in A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes Cl_{0,1}$ for some anti-selfadjoint $h$ and hence $iyx = -yh \otimes \rho \in A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes Cl_{1,0}$. If $i = 4$ or $i = 6$ then we replace $A^{\mathfrak{r}}$ by $A^{\mathfrak{r}} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ and conclude in the same way as for $i = 0$ and $i = 2$. With this information at hand we can say more about the image of $\Delta_\xi$.

- $k = 0$. If all elements of $KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ have a representative satisfying property $Y$ then $\mathrm{im}\Delta_\xi = \frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_2$.
- $k = 2$, $i = 0, 4$. If all elements of $KO_{i+2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ have a representative satisfying property $Y$ then $\frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, KO_{i+2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \subset \mathrm{im}\Delta_\xi$ (and the inclusion is an equality if also all all elements of $KO_i(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ have a representative satisfying property $Y$.
- $k = 2$, $i = 2, 6$. If all elements of $KO_{i-2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ have a representative satisfying property $Y$ then $\frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, KO_{i-2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle / \langle \xi, KO_{i+2}(A^{\mathfrak{r}})\rangle \subset \mathrm{im}\Delta_\xi$.

## 6. Applications for the torsion valued pairing

6.1. **$\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued pairings with $KO_i(\mathbb{R})$.** We first remark that since $KU_1(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ any torsion valued pairing with an odd $KO$-group $KO_i(\mathbb{R})$, $i$ odd, must be trivial. We therefore consider even $i$ below.

Recall the cycle $(\mathbb{C}, 0, 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}\mathrm{id})$ over the trivially graded $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{c})$ the character of which we denoted $\mathrm{ch}_0$. It is 0-dimensional and has sign and parity $+1$. We obtain from Thm. 5.4 the torsion valued map

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0} : \ker j_* \subset KO_i(\mathbb{R}) \to \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KU_i(\mathbb{C})\rangle / \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_{i+k}(\mathbb{R})\rangle$$

and $k = 0$ if $i = 0, 4$ whereas $k = 2$ if $i = 2, 6$. The map must be trivial for $i = 6$ because $KO_6(\mathbb{R}) = 0$.

We consider $i = 0$. Since $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_0(\mathbb{R})\rangle = \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KU_0(\mathbb{C})\rangle$ we see that the image of $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0}$ is trivial in the case $i = 0$. As an aside we mention that $j_* : KO_0(\mathbb{R}) \to KO_1(\mathbb{R})$ is not the zero map. Indeed, if we take $e = -1 \otimes \rho \in \mathbb{R} \otimes Cl_{1,0}$ as basepoint for $DK_e(\mathbb{R} \otimes Cl_{1,0})$ then $x = -e$ is the generator of this group and $j(x) = -j(e) \in DK_{j(e)}(\mathbb{R} \otimes Cl_{1,1})$. Now $-j(e)$ is not osu-homotopic to $j(e)$, it is in fact the generator of $DK_{j(e)}(\mathbb{R} \otimes Cl_{1,1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

We consider the case $i = 2$. Recall that $KO_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $KO_3(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_4(\mathbb{R})\rangle = 2\mathbb{Z}$. In particular $j_* : KO_2(\mathbb{R}) \to KO_3(\mathbb{R})$ is the zero map and hence

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0} : KO_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KU_0(\mathbb{C})\rangle / \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_4(\mathbb{R})\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The question is whether this map is an isomorphism or trivial. To determine this we evaluate $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0}$ on the generator of $KO_2(\mathbb{R})$ which is the class of $x = -e$ where $e = i\sigma_y \otimes (i, -i) \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes Cl_{0,1}$ is taken as the base point. We see that $y = i\sigma_y \otimes (1, 1)$ is an even anti-selfadjoint unitary which commutes with $e$ and $x$ and hence conclude from Cor. 5.10 that $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0}([x]) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, [\tilde{x}]\rangle \bmod \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_4(\mathbb{R})\rangle$. Now $\tilde{x} = 1_2 \otimes (1, -1) = 1_2 \otimes \Gamma$ and therefore $\langle \mathrm{ch}_0, [\tilde{x}]\rangle = 2$ showing that $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0}$ is indeed an isomorphism.

We consider $i = 4$. Now $KO_4(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}$. and $KO_5(\mathbb{R}) = 0$. Again therefore $j_* : KO_4(\mathbb{R}) \to KO_5(\mathbb{R})$ is the zero map and we obtain from Thm. 5.4

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0} : KO_4(\mathbb{R}) \to \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KU_0(\mathbb{C})\rangle / \langle \mathrm{ch}_0, KO_4(\mathbb{R})\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

As above a generator is given by $x = -e$ where $e$ is the base point, here we take $e = 1_2 \otimes \rho \in \mathbb{H} \otimes Cl_{1,0}$. Clearly $x$ and $e$ commute with the even anti-selfadjoint unitary $i\sigma_y \otimes 1$ so that also in this case $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_0}$ is surjective. It is, of course, not injective.

6.2. **Models of Kane-Mele type.** Models of Kane-Mele type are two dimensional tight binding models which have an odd time reversal invariance. We describe here their $C^*$-algebraic formulation, the associated $K$-theory and the pairing with the standard Chern character.

6.2.1. *$C^*$-algebraic description.* The complex $C^*$-algebra of observables for two-dimensional tight binding models describing solids without external magnetic field is the crossed product algebra $A := F \otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ where $\Omega$ is the space of microscopic configurations on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ which can be realisations of the material, for instance by associating to a point in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ its atomic orbital type, $\alpha$ the action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by shift of the configuration[2], and $F$ a finite dimensional algebra to describe the internal degrees of freedom. Depending on the circumstances one wants to describe, the

[2]we do not distinguish notationally the action of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on $\Omega$ by homeomorphisms from its pull back action on $C(\Omega)$

elements of $\Omega$ are disorder configurations, or quasiperiodic configurations, or even periodic configurations as for a periodic crystal. In the last case $\Omega$ may be taken to be a single point and the details of the unit cell can be absorbed into the algebra $F$. We allow only finitely many possibilities of atomic orbitals at a point and in this case it is most natural to equip $\Omega$ with a compact totally disconnected topology. The reason for this is that $\Omega$ can be understood as an inverse limit of finite sets, namely the sets of configurations of finite size of which there are, for any given size, only finitely many. It is usually assumed that $\Omega$ carries an ergodic invariant probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ and contains a dense orbit of the action which lies in the support of the measure. This measure gives rise to a trace on $C(\Omega)$ which extends to a positive trace $\mathrm{Tr}_A$ on $A$.

Elements of $A$ can be approximated by finite sums of the form $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^2} a_n u^n$ where $a_n \in F\otimes C(\Omega)$, $u^n = u_1^{n_1}u_2^{n_2}$ with two commuting unitaries $u_1$, $u_2$, and multiplication and $*$-structure are given by

$$a_n u^n a_m u^m = a_n\alpha_n(a_m)u^{n+m}, \quad (a_n u^n)^* = \alpha_{-n}(a_n^*)u^{-n}. \tag{8}$$

In particular the action $\alpha$ on $F\otimes C(\Omega)$ is induced by conjugation with the unitaries $u_1$, $u_2$.

Taking a point $\omega$ in the dense orbit the evaluation representation $ev_\omega : C(\Omega) \to \mathbb{C}$, $ev_\omega(f) = f(\omega)$ induces a representation $\pi$ of $A$ on $\Psi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{C}^N)$ where $\mathbb{C}^N$ is the representation space for the matrix algebra $F$

$$[\pi(au^m)\Psi](n) = a(\alpha_{-n}(\omega))\Psi(n-m).$$

Complex conjugation on that Hilbert space $\Psi \mapsto \overline{\Psi}$ (where complex conjugation in $\mathbb{C}^N$ is w.r.t. a chosen real basis) induces a real structure $\mathfrak{f}$ on $A$,

$$\mathfrak{f}(a\otimes u^n) = \mathfrak{c}(a)\otimes u^n$$

where $\mathfrak{c}(a)(\omega')$ is complex conjugation of the matrix elements in $a(\omega')$, see [22]. Odd time reversal symmetry is implemented on $A$ by means of another real structure $\mathfrak{r} = \mathrm{Ad}_\Theta \circ \mathfrak{f}$ where $\Theta \in A$ is a unitary which satisfies $\Theta\mathfrak{f}(\Theta) = -1$. We know then from the general theory of [22] that, under mild conditions on the spectrum of $\Theta$ (for instance if the spectrum is finite), $(M_2(A), \mathfrak{r}_2)$ is inner conjugate to $(M_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes A, \mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{f})$ where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Ad}_{i\sigma_y} \circ \mathfrak{c}$ is the quaternionic real structure ($\mathfrak{c}$ is complex conjugation of the matrix elements) and $M_2(\mathbb{C})^{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathbb{H}$, the algebra of quaternions. We may thus work from the beginning with a subalgebra $F \subset M_N(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ($N = 2n$) which is invariant under the real structure $\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{c}$ so that the observable algebra is $A = F\otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ equipped with the real structure $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{f}$. In what follows, the size $n$ of the factor $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is not relevant and we will set it to $n = 1$. We are thus interested in two cases, $A = M_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ and its subalgebra $A_0 = (\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C})\otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$.

A Hamiltonian describing an insulator corresponds to a self-adjoint invertible element $h \in A$ (we always move the gap and the Fermi energy to 0). It can thus

be seen as a $2 \times 2$ matrix whose entries belong to $C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & R \\ R^* & h_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The off-diagonal entry $R$ plays the role of a Rashba coupling. If $R = 0$ then $h \in A_0$ and we have two decoupled models, one described by $h_1$ the other by $h_2$, and $h$ commutes with the anti-selfadjoint unitary

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $h$ is $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant iff $\mathfrak{f}(h_1) = h_2$ and $\mathfrak{f}(R) = -R^*$. In particular the above $y$ is $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant. The Kane-Mele model is of the above type with $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant $h$ and non-vanishing Rashba coupling.

6.2.2. *K-theory of the observable algebra.* The $K$-theory of $M_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ and of its real subalgebra $(M_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)^{\mathfrak{h}\otimes\mathfrak{f}} = C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ can be computed by iterating the Pimsner Voiculescu exact sequence [28, 33]. We recall some details. Associated to an action $\alpha$ on a (trivially graded) $C^*$-algebra $B$ there is a short exact sequence, the so-called Toeplitz extension

$$0 \to B \otimes \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{T}(B, \alpha) \xrightarrow{q} B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \to 0. \tag{9}$$

$\mathcal{T}(B, \alpha)$ is the universal $C^*$-algebra generated by $B$ and a coisometry $S$, i.e. an element $S$ satisfying $SS^* = 1$, such that $SaS^* = \alpha(a)$, $a \in B$. Moreover $q(aS) = au_1$ with $u_1$ as in (8) (but for a $\mathbb{Z}$-action). Any real structure $\mathfrak{r}$ on $B$ which commutes with the action $\alpha$ can be extended to the crossed product algebra $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(b\otimes u_1) = \mathfrak{r}(b)\otimes u_1$ for $b \in B$, and similarily it can be extended to the Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{T}(B, \alpha)$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(b\otimes S) = \mathfrak{r}(b)\otimes S$. The above short exact sequence (9) is then equivariant w.r.t the real structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ and restricting to $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$-invariant elements we obtain the Toeplitz extension of the real crossed product $B^{\mathfrak{r}} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. The important result of [28] which has been adapted to the real case in [33] is that the above short exact sequence gives rise to an exact sequence in complex or real $K$-theory (the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence) which can be cut into short exact sequences, for each degree one. In the real case the short exact sequence in degree $i$ is

$$0 \to C_\alpha KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}}) \to KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\delta} I_\alpha KO_{i-1}(B^{\mathfrak{r}}) \to 0. \tag{10}$$

Here $C_\alpha KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}}) := KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}})/\sim_\alpha$ is the quotient module of coinvariant elements, that is the module $KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}})$ modulo elements of the form $[x] - [\alpha(x)]$, $[x] \in KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}})$, and $I_\alpha KO_{i-1}(B^{\mathfrak{r}}) := \{[x] \in KO_{i-1}(B^{\mathfrak{r}}) : [\alpha(x)] = 0\}$ is the submodule of invariant elements. Note that the boundary map $\delta$ coming from the short exact sequence (9) appears in (10) as the quotient map.

If $\alpha$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action, that is, given by two commuting $\mathbb{Z}$-actions $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$, then we write $C_\alpha = C_{\alpha_2} C_{\alpha_1}$ and $I_\alpha = I_{\alpha_2} I_{\alpha_1}$. Below we denote $K_i = KU_i$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $K_i = KO_i$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Consider a continuous $\mathbb{Z}^2$ action $\alpha$ on a totally disconnected compact space $\Omega$. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$. For $i = 0$ and $i = 2$ we have the exact sequences*

$$0 \to C_\alpha C(\Omega, K_i(\mathbb{F})) \to K_i(C(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2) \stackrel{\delta^{(2)}}{\to} I_\alpha C(\Omega, K_{i-2}(\mathbb{F})) \to 0.$$

*Furthermore, $KO_1(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ is torsion free.*

Before giving the proof we remark that, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ then there is no distinction between $i = 0$ and $i = 2$, and that $K_2(\mathbb{H}) = 0$ which simplifies the exact sequence in the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$ and $i = 2$.

*Proof.* We view the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-crossed product $C(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ as double crossed product $(C(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}) \rtimes_{\alpha_2} \mathbb{Z}$ and apply (10) twice. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ this calculation can be found, for instance, in [15]. We consider here the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$. We obtain first from the action $\alpha_1$ on $C(\Omega, \mathbb{H})$

$$0 \to C_{\alpha_1} KO_i(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H})) \to KO_i(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}) \to I_{\alpha_1} KO_{i-1}(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H})) \to 0. \tag{11}$$

Since $\Omega$ is totally disconnected it can be seen as inverse limit of finite discrete sets. $C(\Omega)$ is thus a direct limit of finite dimensional algebras so that, by the continuity property of the $KO$-functor,

$$KO_i(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H})) \cong C(\Omega, KO_i(\mathbb{H})).$$

It is known that $KO_i(\mathbb{H})$ is $\mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, 0$ in degrees $i = 0, 1, \cdots, 7$. By (11)

$$\begin{aligned} KO_{-1}(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}) &\stackrel{\delta_1}{\cong} I_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, KO_{-2}(\mathbb{H})) \\ KO_0(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}) &\cong C_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, KO_0(\mathbb{H})) \\ KO_1(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}) &\stackrel{\delta_1}{\cong} I_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, KO_0(\mathbb{H})) \\ KO_2(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_1$ is the boundary map for the action $\alpha_1$. We insert this into the exact sequence (10) for the second action $\alpha_2$ on $B^{\mathfrak{r}} = C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \mathbb{Z}$. For $i = 0, 2$ we obtain precisely the exact sequences stated in the proposition. The quotient map $\delta^{(2)}$ is thus the composition of the boundary maps $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ for the two actions $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. For $i = 1$ we obtain

$$0 \to C_{\alpha_2} I_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, K_0(\mathbb{H})) \to K_1(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2) \stackrel{\delta_2 \circ \delta_1}{\to} I_{\alpha_2} C_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, K_0(\mathbb{H})) \to 0.$$

Since $I_{\alpha_2} C_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})$ and $C_{\alpha_2} I_{\alpha_1} C(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})$ are always torsion free $K_1(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ is also torsion free. □

A particular role is played by the Bott-element in the $K$-theory of a crossed product $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$. Denote by $B^\alpha$ the $\alpha$-invariant elements of $B$. Suppose that $B$ is unital and consider the subalgebra $B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z} \subset B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ and its ideal $(B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z})_0 \subset B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}$ generated by $u_1 - 1$. Let $\mathcal{T}(B^\alpha, \mathrm{id})_0$ be the kernel of the map

$\mathcal{T}(B^\alpha, \mathrm{id}) \to B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}/(B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z})_0$ (the composition of $q$ with the quotient map $B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z} \to B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}/(B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z})_0$). Then we have a reduced Toeplitz extension

$$0 \to B^\alpha \otimes \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{T}(B^\alpha, \mathrm{id})_0 \to (B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z})_0 \to 0 \tag{12}$$

which is again $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$-equivariant and hence also the $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$-invariant elements yield an exact sequence. The $K$-theory of the middle algebra is trivial [8, 33] and so the corresponding boundary maps $\tilde{\delta} : KU_i((B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z})_0) \to KU_{i-1}(B^\alpha)$ and $\tilde{\delta} : KO_i((B^{\alpha\,\mathfrak{r}} \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z})_0) \to KO_{i-1}(B^{\alpha\,\mathfrak{r}})$ are isomorphisms. If we have a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action given by two commuting automorphisms $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ then we can consider the ideal $(B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}^2)_0$ generated by $\{u_1 - 1, u_2 - 1\}$ in $B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}^2$ and iterate the above construction to obtain a homomorphism[3]

$$\mathrm{b} := i_* \circ \tilde{\delta}_2^{-1} \circ \tilde{\delta}_1^{-1} : KU_i(B^\alpha) \to KU_{i+2}(B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$$

and its analog in the real case, $\mathrm{b} : KO_i(B^{\mathfrak{r}\,\alpha}) \to KO_{i+2}(B^{\mathfrak{r}} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ where $i$ is the inclusion of $(B^\alpha \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z}^2)_0$ into $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$. Consider the class $[1_B] \in K_0(B^\alpha)$ defined by the unit of $B$. The element $\mathrm{b}([1_B])$ is the so-called Bott-element in $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$. While in the complex case we have $K_2(B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong K_0(B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ we have to keep in mind that in the real case the Bott element lies in $KO_2(B^{\mathfrak{r}} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$.

**Corollary 6.2.** *Consider a continuous $\mathbb{Z}^2$ action $\alpha$ on a totally disconnected compact space $\Omega$. If the action has a dense orbit then*

$$KO_2(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2) \;\cong\; \mathbb{Z}$$

*with generator given by the Bott element $\mathrm{b}([1_{\mathbb{H}}])$.*

*Proof.* Since $KO_2(\mathbb{H}) = 0$ Prop. 6.1 yields that $\delta^{(2)} : KO_2(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2) \to I_\alpha C(\Omega, KO_0(\mathbb{H}))$ is an isomorphism. Since $\Omega$ contains a dense orbit, any invariant element is constant, thus $I_\alpha C(\Omega, KO_0(\mathbb{H})) = KO_0(\mathbb{H})$. We have $\delta^{(2)}(\mathrm{b}([1_{\mathbb{H}}])) = [1_{\mathbb{H}}]$ and hence $\mathrm{b}([1_{\mathbb{H}}])$ is the generator of $KO_2(C(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$. □

6.2.3. *The standard Chern character.* Whenever we have two commuting (unbounded) $*$-derivations $\partial_1, \partial_2$ on a unital ungraded $C^{*,r}$-algebra $(A, \mathfrak{r})$ we may consider the cycle $(A \otimes \Lambda\mathbb{C}^2, d, \int)$ where $\int = 2^{-3-\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{Tr}_A \circ \imath$ where $\mathrm{Tr}_A$ is an invariant positive trace on $A$ which is real w.r.t. the real structure, i.e. $\mathrm{Tr}_A(\mathfrak{r}(a)) = \overline{\mathrm{Tr}_A(a)}$. We assume that $A$ contains a domain algebra for this cycle. Since $\imath(\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2) = i^{\mu(2)} = i$ the above is a $(*, \mathfrak{r})$ cycle of sign $\epsilon = 1$ and parity $\mathfrak{e} = -1$. The pairing of its character $\mathrm{ch}_2$ with elements of $KU_0(A) = K_0(A, \mathrm{id}) = DK_{-1\hat{\otimes}\rho}(A \otimes \mathbb{C}l_1)$ is given by

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, [x] \rangle = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \mathrm{Tr} \jmath(x + 1_m \hat{\otimes} \rho)(dx)^2 = i \mathrm{Tr}_A p[\partial_1 p, \partial_2 p]$$

for $x = h \hat{\otimes} \rho \in M_m(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}l_1$ and $p = \frac{h+1}{2}$. This is $2\pi$ times the Chern number of the projection $p$ w.r.t. the derivations.

[3] The map $\mathrm{b}$ is essentially the Bott-map [14].

By the standard Chern character for two-dimensional models of solid state systems, for which the algebra $A$ is the crossed product $F \otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ we mean the Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_2$ constructed as above with the two derivations $\partial_1$, $\partial_2$ corresponding to the infinitesimal dual action of the crossed product, that is,

$$\partial_j(a \otimes u^n) = i n_j a \otimes u^n.$$

The Fréchet algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ of infinite sums $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^2} a_n u^n$ for which $n \mapsto \|a_n\|$ is rapidly decreasing is a dense subalgebra which is closed under holomorphic functional calculus [31, 30] and thus a domain algebra for our cycle.

The standard Chern character plays a prominent role as in the physical representations mentionned above $\partial_j$ corresponds to taking the commutator with the $j$-component of the position operator and is therefore related to the Hall conductivity of the solid. It is known that the pairing of $\mathrm{ch}_2$ with $KU_0(A)$ is integrally quantized [2], namely

$$2\pi\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KU_0(A)\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

However, if $h$ is an invertible self-adjoint element which is $\mathfrak{r}$-invariant so that it defines a class $[h\otimes\rho] \in KO_0(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ then this class pairs trivially with $\mathrm{ch}_2$, because $\mathrm{ch}_2$ pairs trivially with $KO_0(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$. The physical reason for this is that we have no external magnetic field[4] (we did not twist the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action). On the other hand, the pairing with a class of a "simple" system described by $h_1$ (or $h_2$) does not have to vanish, because $h_1$ and $h_2$ are not individually constreint by the $\mathfrak{r}$-invariance of $h$, only collectively. In particular, if $h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{f}(h_1)\end{pmatrix} \in A_0^{\mathfrak{r}}$ then

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, [-iyh \otimes \rho]\rangle = -i\mathrm{Tr}_A(p_1[\partial_1 p_1, \partial_2 p_1]$$

where $p_1$ is the spectral projection of $h_1$ to the positive energy part, and thus $1-p_1$ is the Fermi projection of $h_1$. We may interprete the $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ factor as spin degree of freedom and thus $\frac{i}{2\pi}\mathrm{Tr}_A(p_1[\partial_1 p_1, \partial_2 p_1]$ as the Chern number of the spin-up sector part of the Fermisea. We refer the reader to [29] for a thorough discussion of this so-called spin Chern number. In [29] also the effect of strong disorder is considered.

Now if we have a non-vanishing Rashba term then $i\mathrm{Tr}_A(p_1[\partial_1 p_1, \partial_2 p_1]$ is no longer a topological invariant but $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}([h\otimes\rho])$ still is. Indeed, since $KO_1(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ is torsion free $j_*$ is the zero map and $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}$ is defined on all of $KO_0(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Consider a continuous $\mathbb{Z}^2$ action $\alpha$ on a totally disconnected compact space $\Omega$ which has a dense orbit. We have*

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KU_0(C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2\rangle / \langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KO_2(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$$

*and*

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2} : KO_0(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H})) \to \langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KU_0(C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2\rangle / \langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KO_2(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2\rangle$$

[4]Locally varying magnetic fields are not excluded provided their strength depends on the local configuration and their mean flux is zero.

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* The quotient map $\delta^{(2)}$ in Prop. 6.1 formally does not depend on whether we work over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ and neither does $\mathrm{b}$. Since the generator of $K_0(\mathbb{C})$ is $[1]$ whereas that of $K_0(\mathbb{H})$ is the class of $1_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ we must have

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, \mathrm{b}([1_{\mathbb{H}}])\rangle = 2\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, \mathrm{b}([1])\rangle.$$

Moreover, the elements of the subgroup $C_{\alpha_2}C_{\alpha_1}C(\Omega,\mathbb{Z}) \subset KU_0(C(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ are represented by OSUs of $C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \otimes Cl_{1,0}$ and hence their pairing with $\mathrm{ch}_2$ vanishes. Thus only the elements of $I_{\alpha_2}I_{\alpha_1}C(\Omega,\mathbb{Z})$ lifted into $KU_0(C(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ can pair non-trivially with $\mathrm{ch}_2$. By density of one orbit, $I_{\alpha_2}I_{\alpha_1}C(\Omega,\mathbb{Z})$ has a single generator whose lift is $\mathrm{b}([1])$. Hence

$$\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KO_2(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)\rangle = 2\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KU_0(C(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2\rangle.$$

As $\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KU_0(C(\Omega,\mathbb{C}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\mathbb{Z}$ the first statement follows.

It remains thus to show that $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}$ is non-trivial. For that we apply $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}$ to the van Daele class of a Hamiltonian $h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{f}(h_1) \end{pmatrix} \in A_0^{\mathfrak{r}}$ with vanishing Rashba coupling. By Cor. 5.10 we get

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}([h\otimes\rho]) = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, [-iyh \otimes \rho]\rangle \; mod \; \frac{1}{\pi}\mathbb{Z} = -i\mathrm{Tr}_A(p_1[\partial_1 p_1, \partial_2 p_1] \; mod \; \frac{1}{\pi}\mathbb{Z}$$

and so if we take $h_1$ with chern number 1 we see that $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}([h\otimes\rho]) = \frac{1}{2\pi} mod \frac{1}{\pi}\mathbb{Z}$. □

It follows from the work of Carpentier etal. [10] that $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}([h\otimes\rho])$ is the Kane Mele invariant for the spectral projection $\frac{1-h}{2}$ onto the negative spectrum of $h$ (the Fermisea) provided the model is periodic, that is, $\Omega$ a single point; see the discussion in the next section.

**Remark 6.4.** For *even* time reversal invariant systems the relevant algebra is $C(\Omega, M_2(\mathbb{R})) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2$ and since $KO_i(C(\Omega,\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong KO_{i-4}(C(\Omega,\mathbb{H}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ the computation of its $K$-theory is more complicated in low degrees. It can, however, still be concluded that, up to torsion elements, $KO_2(C(\Omega,\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ is given by $KO_0(\mathbb{R})$ (under the assumption that the action has a dense orbit) and that the generator of infinite order of $KO_2(C(\Omega,\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)$ is the Bott element. For even time reversal symmetry we thus have $\langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KO_2(C(\Omega,\mathbb{R}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)\rangle = \langle \mathrm{ch}_2, KU_0(C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2)\rangle$ (without the factor 2) and so the image group of the torsion valued pairing $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}$ is trivial.

6.3. **Periodically driven models.** We provide an application to periodically driven materials with time reversal invariance. In the case that these are crystalline they have been discussed by Carpentier etal.. Their work [10] was actually the source of inspiration for our construction in Section 5.

Let $A$ be the observable algebra. In the tight binding approximation this is $A = M_N(\mathbb{C})\otimes C(\Omega) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^d$. For the model of [10], a two-dimensional crystal, $\Omega$ is a single point and $d = 2$ so that $M_N(\mathbb{C})\otimes C(\Omega)\rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}^2 \cong M_N(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$, the isomorphism being given by the Fourier transform.

Let $H(t) \in A$ be a continuously differentiable function of self-adjoint operators. $H(t)$ should be thought of as a time dependent Hamiltonian describing the material subject to an external force. We suppose that the time dependence is periodic, of period $T > 0$. Let $U(t)$ be the unitary time evolution operator, which is the solution of the initial value problem

$$i\dot{U}(t) = H(t)U(t), \quad U(0) = 1.$$

It follows that $U(t)$ is $T$-periodic up to multiplication by $U(T)$,

$$U(t + T) = U(T)U(t).$$

We are interested in the topological properties of the spectral projections of $U(T)$ which belong to $A$. The spectrum of $U(T)$ is a subset of the circle $S^1$ of complex numbers of modulus 1. We need to assume that it is not all of $S^1$ but has at least two gaps so that we can define the spectral projection onto the spectral part between the gaps. More precisely, if $z_0, z_1$ are two distinct points in $S^1$ we denote by $[z_0, z_1]$ the subset of points in $S^1$ which are counter-clockwise to the left of $z_0$ and to the right of $z_1$, and define $P_{z_0,z_1}$ to be the spectral projection of $U(T)$ onto $[z_0, z_1]$. If $z_0$ and $z_1$ lie in the gaps of the spectrum of $U(T)$ then $P_{z_0,z_1}$ is a continuous function of $U(T)$ and thus lies in $A$. Consequently it defines an element in $KU_0(A)$ or, equivalently, $x := (2P_{z_0,z_1} - 1)\otimes\rho$ an element of the van Daele $K$-group $K_0(A, \mathrm{id})$. We are interested in pairings of this element with chern characters, in particular, in dimension two, with the standard chern character $\mathrm{ch}_2$ described already above for the Kane-Mele model. But note that we are considering spectral projections of the time $T$ evolution operator $U(T)$ and not spectral projections of the Hamiltonian itself.

The spectral projection $P_{z_0,z_1}$ can be computed at follows: Fix a cut to define a logarithm $\log : \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}^+ z \to \mathbb{C}$ where $z \in S^1$ does not belong to the spectrum of $U(T)$. Fix a branch of that logarithm, that is, a real number $\epsilon$ such that $e^{i\epsilon T} = z$ so that $\log_\epsilon(e^{i\varphi})$ is the imaginary number $i\varphi_\epsilon$ which satisfies $\epsilon T \le \varphi_\epsilon < \epsilon T + 2\pi$ and $\varphi_\epsilon - \varphi \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$H_\epsilon^{\mathrm{eff}} := \frac{i}{T}\log_\epsilon U(T)$$

is a selfadjoint operator which can be seen as an effective time independent Hamiltonian, because at times $t = nT$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the time evolution of $H(t)$ and of $H_\epsilon^{\mathrm{eff}}$ coincide, $U(nT) = e^{-inTH_\epsilon^{\mathrm{eff}}}$. In between these times the difference of their time evolution is given by the periodized time evolution operator

$$V_\epsilon(t) = U(t)e^{itH_\epsilon^{\mathrm{eff}}}$$

which satisfies $V_\epsilon(t+T) = V_\epsilon(t)$. If $z$ does not belong to the spectrum of $U(T)$ then $H^{\rm eff}_\epsilon$ is a continuous function of $U(T)$ and hence an element of $A$.

Now suppose that $z_0$ and $z_1$ do not belong to the spectrum of $U(T)$. Choose $\epsilon_i$ so that $e^{i\epsilon_i T} = z_i$ and $0 \leq (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0)T < 2\pi$. Then, for $z \neq z_1, z_2$ we have $\log_{\epsilon_1}(z) - \log_{\epsilon_0}(z) = 2\pi i$ if $z \in [z_1, z_2]$ and it is 0 otherwise. It follows from functional calculus that

$$2\pi i P_{z_0,z_1} = \log_{\epsilon_1}(U(T)) - \log_{\epsilon_0}(U(T)) = -iT(H^{\rm eff}_{\epsilon_1} - H^{\rm eff}_{\epsilon_0}).$$

The last expression may be interpreted in $K$-theory as follows. Let $\beta : KU_0(A) \to KU_1(SA)$ be the Bott map from (4). Since $V_\epsilon$ is 1 periodic in $s = t/T$ and satisfies $V_\epsilon(0) = 1$, it defines an element of $KU_1(SA)$ and (we denote only the upper right corner in $Y(t)$ of (4))

$$\begin{aligned}\beta[P_{z_0,z_1}] &= [s \mapsto \exp(-2\pi i s P_{z_0,z_1})] \\ &= [s \mapsto e^{isT(H^{\rm eff}_{\epsilon_1} - H^{\rm eff}_{\epsilon_0})}] \\ &= [V_{\epsilon_1}] - [V_{\epsilon_0}].\end{aligned}$$

($H^{\rm eff}_{\epsilon_1}$ and $H^{\rm eff}_{\epsilon_0}$ commute because they are continuous functions of $U(T)$).

6.3.1. *Time reversal symmetry.* We now consider the effect of time reversal symmetry. Recall that time reversal symmetry is implemented by a real structure $\mathfrak{r}$ on $A$. A time dependent Hamiltonian $H(t)$ has time reversal symmetry if

$$\mathfrak{r}(H(t)) = H(-t).$$

This implies that $\mathfrak{r}(U(t)) = U(-t)$, $\mathfrak{r}(H^{\rm eff}_\epsilon) = H^{\rm eff}_\epsilon$, $\mathfrak{r}(P_{z_0,z_1}) = P_{z_0,z_1}$, and $\mathfrak{r}(V_\epsilon(t)) = V_\epsilon(-t)$. In particular, $P_{z_0,z_1}$ defines an element of $KO_0(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$.

For periodic models with *odd* time reversal symmetry Carpentier etal. [9, 10] proposed to associate to $P_{z_0,z_1}$ an invariant which we wish to describe in our framework. For periodic models $\Omega$ may be taken to be a single point so that the observable algebra is $A = M_N(\mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ with trivial action $\alpha$. Odd time reversal invariance requires that $N = 2n$ is even and can be described by the real structure

$$\mathfrak{r}(a \otimes u^n) = \mathfrak{h}(a) \otimes u^n$$

where $a \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{h} = {\rm Ad}_{\sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{1}} \circ \mathfrak{c}$. Applying a Fourier transformation to the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-variable one sees that $A$ is isomorphic to the algebra of continuous $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ valued functions over the 2-torus $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Under this isomorphism $\mathfrak{r}$ transforms into the real structure

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}(f)(k) = \mathfrak{h}(f)(-k)$$

for $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \to M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$. This Fouriertransformation understood, we view now $P_{z_0,z_1} = P_{z_0,z_1}(k)$ and $V_\epsilon = V_\epsilon(t,k)$ as a continuous functions

$$P_{z_0,z_1} : \mathbb{T}^2 \to M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad V_\epsilon : \mathbb{T}^3 \to M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

which satisfy $\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_y\otimes\mathbb{1}} P_{z_0,z_1}(k) = \overline{P_{z_0,z_1}(-k)}$ and

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_y\otimes\mathbb{1}} V_\epsilon(t,k) = \overline{V_\epsilon(-t,-k)}.$$

The invariant associated to $P_{z_0,z_1}$ in [10] is obtained by first modifying $V_\epsilon$. Let

$$\hat{V}_\epsilon(t,k) = \begin{cases} V_\epsilon(t,k) & \text{for } t \in [0,\frac{1}{2}] \\ \hat{V}_\epsilon(t,k) & \text{for } t \in [\frac{1}{2},1] \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where for $[\frac{1}{2},1]\times\mathbb{T}^2 \ni (t,k) \mapsto \hat{V}_\epsilon(t,k) \in U_N(\mathbb{C})$ is any continuously differentiable function which satisfies the symmetry constreint

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma_y\otimes\mathbb{1}} \hat{V}_\epsilon(t,k) = \overline{\hat{V}_\epsilon(t,-k)}$$

and the boundary conditions

$$\hat{V}_\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},k) = V_\epsilon(\frac{1}{2},k), \quad \hat{V}_\epsilon(1,k) = 1.$$

A substantial part of [10] is devoted to the proof that such a function $\hat{V}_\epsilon$ exists. The degree of $\hat{V}_\epsilon$ is defined to be

$$\mathrm{deg}\hat{V}_\epsilon := \frac{1}{24\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{V}_\epsilon^* d\hat{V}_\epsilon)^3$$

where $d$ is the exterior derivative and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}$ the standard integral over $\mathbb{T}^3$. Finally, the invariant associated to $P_{z_0,z_1}$ is the difference modulo 2

$$K(P_{z_0,z_1}) := \mathrm{deg}\hat{V}_{\epsilon_1} - \mathrm{deg}\hat{V}_{\epsilon_0} \mod 2.$$

Carpentier etal. then show that $K(P_{z_0,z_1})$ coincides with the Kane-Mele invariant of $P_{z_0,z_1}$. Here we point out that $K(P_{z_0,z_1})$ corresponds exactly to $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}([x_{z_0,z_1}])$. Indeed, according to Remark 5.6 $s \mapsto \hat{V}_{\epsilon_1}(s)\hat{V}^*_{\epsilon_0}(s)$ can be taken for the loop $\mathcal{L}_{x_{z_0,z_1}}$ in the definition of $\Delta_{\mathrm{ch}_2}([x_{z_0,z_1}])$ and the degree is exactly the pairing with the character of the 3-dimensional cycle $\mathrm{ch}_2^S$. The existence of $\hat{V}_\epsilon$ satisfying (13) follows in our framework from the torsion freeness of $KO_1(A^{\mathfrak{r}})$ (Prop. 6.1) and Cor 5.8.

## References


[1] J. Bellissard. *K-theory of $C^*$-Algebras in solid state physics.* Statistical mechanics and field theory: mathematical aspects. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986, 99–156.

[2] J. Bellissard, A. van Elst, and H. Schulz-Baldes. *The noncommutative geometry of the quantum Hall effect.* Journal of Mathematical Physics 35.10 (1994): 5373–5451.

[3] B. Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras.* Second edition. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 5. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[4] J. L. Boersema, T. A. Loring. *K-theory for real $C^*$-algebras via unitary elements with symmetries.* arXiv: 1504.03284.

[5] C. Bourne, A. Carey,, A. Rennie, *The bulk-edge correspondence for the quantum Hall effect in Kasparov theory*, Letters in Mathematical Physics 105 (9), 1253–1273

[6] C. Bourne, A. Carey, A. Rennie, *A non-commutative framework for topological insulators*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics 28 (02), 1650004

[7] C. Bourne, J. Kellendonk, A. Rennie, *The $K$-theoretic bulk-edge correspondence for topological insulators*, Annales Henri Poincaré, 2016.
[8] J. Cuntz, *$K$-theory for certain $C^*$-algebras II*, Journal of Operator Theory **5**.1 (1981) 101–108
[9] D. Carpentier, P. Delplace, M. Fruchart, K. Gawedzki. *Topological index for periodically driven time-reversal invariant 2d systems* Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 106806.
[10] D. Carpentier, P. Delplace, M. Fruchart, K. Gawedzki, C. Tauber. *Construction and properties of a topological index for periodically driven time-reversal invariant 2D crystals* Nuclear Physics B 896 (2015) 779–834.
[11] A. Connes, *Non-Commutative Geometry*, (Acad. Press, San Diego, 1994).
[12] A. van Daele, *K-theory for graded Banach algebras I.* The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics 39.2 (1988): 185-199.
[13] A. van Daele, *K-theory for graded Banach algebras II.* Pacific J. Math. **135** 2 (1988) 377–392.
[14] G.A. Elliott, T. Natsume, R. Nest. *Cyclic cohomology for one-parameter smooth crossed products.* Acta Mathematica **160**.1 (1988): 285–305.
[15] A. van Elst, *Gap-labelling theorems for Schrödinger operators on the square and cubic lattice*, Rev. Math. Phys. 6, (1994) 319–342.
[16] F. Gähler, J. Hunton, J. Kellendonk. *Integral cohomology of rational projection method patterns.* Algebraic & Geometric Topology 13.3 (2013): 1661–1708.
[17] J. Grossmann, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Index pairings in presence of symmetries with applications to topological insulators* Commun. Math. Phys. 343.2 (2016): 477–513.
[18] P. de la Harpe, G. Skandalis *Déterminant associé à une trace sur une algébre de Banach*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **34**:1 (1984), 241–260.
[19] C. Hu, *A generalisation of $K$-theory for complex Banach algebras* Quat. J. Math. Oxford (2) **39** (1988) 349–359.
[20] C.L. Kane, E.J. Mele, *Z 2 topological order and the quantum spin Hall effect.* PRL **95**.14 (2005): 146802.
[21] C. Kassel. *A Künneth Formula for the Cyclic Cohomology of $\mathbb{Z}/2$-Graded Algebras* Math. Annalen **275** (1986) 683–699.
[22] J. Kellendonk. *On the $C^*$-algebraic approach to topological phases for insulators* arXiv:1509.06271
[23] J. Kellendonk, T. Richter, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Edge current channels and Chern numbers in the integer quantum Hall effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 87–119.
[24] J. Kellendonk, H. Schultz-Baldes, *Boundary maps for $C^*$-crossed product with $\mathbb{R}$ with an application to the quantum Hall effect*, Commun. Math. Phys. **249** (2004), 611–637.
[25] A. Kitaev, *Periodic table for topological insulators and superconductors.* (Advances in Theoretical Physics: Landau Memorial Conference) AIP Conference Proceedings 1134, 22-30 (2009).
[26] M. König, M., Wiedmann, S., C. Brüne, A. Roth, H. Buhmann, L.W. Molenkamp, X.-L. Qi, S.-C. Zhang, *Quantum spin Hall insulator state in HgTe quantum wells.* Science 318.5851 (2007): 766-770.
[27] M. Pimsner. *Ranges of traces on $K_0$ of reduced crossed products by free groups* In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1132 374–408 (Springer, Berlin 1985).
[28] M. Pimsner, D. Voiculescu. *Exact sequences for $K$-groups of certain cross products of $C^*$-algebras*, J. Op. Theory **4** 93–118 (1980).
[29] E. Prodan. *Robustness of the Spin-Chern number* Phys. Rev. B 80, 125327 (2009).
[30] E. Prodan, H. Schulz-Baldes, *Bulk and Boundary Invariants for Complex Topological Insulators*, Springer (2016).

[31] A. Rennie *Smoothness and locality for nonunital spectral triples* $K$-theory **28** 127–165 (2003).
[32] A. P. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, A.W.W. Ludwig, *Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions*, Phys. Rev. B 78, 195125 (2008).
[33] Schröder, *$K$-theory of real $C^*$-algebras and applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1993.
[34] G. Thiang, *On the K-theoretic classification of topological phases of matter*, Ann. H. Poincaré. Vol. 17. No. 4. (2016).

Univerisité de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Institute Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, 69622 Villeurbanne, France
*E-mail address*: kellendonk@math.univ-lyon1.fr